

1 Persons responsible for executing the WMP

Provide an accounting of the responsibilities of the responsible person(s) executing the plan, including:

1. Executive level with overall responsibility
2. Program owners specific to each component of the plan

Ensure that the plan components described in (2) include an accounting for each of the WMP sections and subsections.

2.1 Lessons learned: how tracking metrics on the 2019 plan has informed the 2020 plan

Describe how the utility's plan has evolved since the 2019 WMP submission. Outline any major themes and lessons learned from the 2019 plan and subsequent implementation of the initiatives. In particular, focus on how utility performance against the metrics used has informed the utility's 2020 WMP.

Table 1: Recent performance on progress metrics, last 5 years

#	Progress metric name		Annual performance					Unit(s)	Comments	
			2015	2016	2017	2018	2019			
1	Grid condition findings from inspection	Findings per mile of circuit in HFTD	Level 1	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0.00000	0.00000	0.00949	Number of Level 1, 2, and 3 findings per mile of circuit in HFTD, and per total miles of circuit for each of the following inspection types: 1. Patrol inspections 2. Detailed inspections 3. Other inspection types	
			Level 2	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0.40321	0.25615	0.36526		
			Level 3	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	3.34424	14.93762	0.82539		
		Patrol Inspections	Level 1	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0.00000	0.00000	0.00949		
			Level 2	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0.36526	0.23244	0.23718		
			Level 3	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	1.70296	12.86466	0.09962		
		Findings per total circuit miles by inspection type	Detailed Inspections	Level 1	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0.00000	0.00000		0.00000
				Level 2	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0.00000	0.00000		0.12808
				Level 3	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0.00474	0.01423		0.72577
	Other Inspection Types	Level 1	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000			
		Level 2	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0.03795	0.02372	0.00000			
Level 3		N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	1.63654	2.05873	0.00000				
2	Vegetation clearance findings from inspection		N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0.02	Percentage of right-of-way with noncompliant clearance based on applicable rules and regulations at the time of inspection, as a percentage of all right-of-way inspected	2019 figure is from October to December. Unable to locate any data prior to October 2019 with the granularity needed to respond.	
3	Extent of grid modularization	1. In HFTD	144	144	144	144	144	Number of sectionalizing devices per circuit mile plus number of automated grid control equipment in: 1. HFTD 2. Non-HFTD	Entire BVES service territory is in HFTD 2 or 3.	
2. In Non-HFTD		N/A - BVES does not have any portions of its service territory in Non-HFTD	N/A - BVES does not have any portions of its service territory in Non-HFTD	N/A - BVES does not have any portions of its service territory in Non-HFTD	N/A - BVES does not have any portions of its service territory in Non-HFTD	N/A - BVES does not have any portions of its service territory in Non-HFTD				
4	Data collection and reporting						97.10%	Percent of data requested in SDR and WMP collected in initial submission		

Note: Values for Table 1.1 "Grid condition findings from inspection" were calculated by dividing the total number of findings of each type by the total number of overhead circuit miles in BVES's service territory, assuming underground circuits are unaffected by wind conditions. Including underground circuit miles in this calculation would deflate the actual assessment of risk posed by wind and other wildfire-risk conditions.

Table 2: Recent performance on outcome metrics, last 5 years

Metric type	#	Outcome metric name	Annual performance					Units	Comments
			2015	2016	2017	2018	2019		
1. Near misses	1.a.	Number of all events (such as unplanned outages, faults, conventional blown fuses, etc.) that could result in ignition, by type according to utility provided list (total)	28	58	35	20	15	Number per year	
	1.b.	Number of all events (such as unplanned outages, faults, conventional blown fuses, etc.) that could result in ignition, by type according to utility provided list (normalized)	0.04553	0.01942	0.01057	0.00896	0.01124	Number per RFW circuit mile day per year	
	1.c.	Number of wires down (total)	0	3	0	0	3	Number of wires down per year	
	1.d.	Number of wires down (normalized)	0.00000	0.00100	0.00000	0.00000	0.00175	Number per RFW circuit mile day per year	
2. Utility inspection findings	2.a.	Number of Level 1 findings that could increase the probability of ignition discovered per circuit mile inspected	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0	0	0	Average number of Level 1 findings that could increase the probability of ignition discovered by all inspections per circuit mile per year	Prior to 2017, inspection and other data which had been being maintained in a database system called Automated Line Patrol System (ALPS) were migrated to a new database system called "Partner". While the old database has been archived and retained, data prior to 2017 is not readily available. During that transition all level 1, 2, or 3 deficiencies had either been corrected or were entered into the new Partner system for tracking and remediation.
	2.b.	Number of Level 2 findings that could increase the probability of ignition discovered per circuit mile inspected	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0	0	0	Average number of Level 2 findings that could increase the probability of ignition discovered by all inspections per circuit mile per year	
	2.c.	Number of Level 3 findings that could increase the probability of ignition discovered per circuit mile inspected	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0	0	0	Average number of Level 3 findings that could increase the probability of ignition discovered by all inspections per circuit mile per year	
	2.d.	Number of Level 4 findings that could increase the probability of ignition discovered per circuit mile inspected	N/A - no data available	N/A - no data available	0	0	0	Average number of Level 4 findings that could increase the probability of ignition discovered by all inspections per circuit mile per year	
3. Customer hours of PSPS and other outages	3.a.	Customer hours of planned outages including PSPS (total)	1,467	2,112	88,412	6,725	782	Total customer hours of planned outages per year	
	3.b.	Customer hours of planned outages including PSPS (normalized)	2.38563	0.30717	26.69925	3.01434	0.58274	Total customer hours of planned outages per RFW circuit mile per year	
	3.c.	Customer hours of unplanned outages, not including PSPS (total)	73,785	120,310	155,513	73,619	121,869	Total customer hours of unplanned outages per year	
	3.d.	Customer hours of unplanned outages, not including PSPS (normalized)	119.98873	43.29752	46.96267	32.99817	91.28356	Total customer hours of unplanned outages per RFW circuit mile day per year	
4. Utility ignited wildfire fatalities	4.e.	Increase in System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI)	0	0	0	0	0	Change in minutes compared to the previous year	
	4.f.	Fatalities due to utility-ignited wildfires (total)	0	0	0	0	0	Number of fatalities per year	BVES has not had any utility-ignited wildfires
	4.g.	Fatalities due to utility-ignited wildfires (normalized)	0	0	0	0	0	Number of fatalities per RFW circuit mile day per year	BVES has not had any utility-ignited wildfires
	4.h.	Deaths due to utility wildfire mitigation activities (total)	0	0	0	0	0	Number of fatalities per year	
6. OSHA-reportable injuries from utility wildfire mitigation initiatives	6.a.	OSHA-reportable injuries due to utility wildfire mitigation activities (total)	0	0	0	1	0	Number of OSHA-reportable injuries per year	On July 19, 2018, a line worker and the owner of Teale Tree Services made contact with a high voltage power line and sustained non-fatal injuries. The injury did not require reporting under Cal/OSHA guidelines but BVES chose to report the incident.
	6.b.	OSHA-reportable injuries due to utility wildfire mitigation activities (normalized)	0	0	0	4.74361	0	Number of OSHA-reportable injuries per year per 1000 line miles of grid	BVES has only 210.81 miles of OH lines. Navigant Consulting interpreted this question to mean BVES would have 0.21081 "thousand line miles of grid."
7. Value of assets destroyed by utility-ignited wildfire, listed by asset type	7.a.	Value of assets destroyed by utility-ignited wildfire (total)	0	0	0	0	0	Dollars of damage or destruction per year	BVES has not had any utility-ignited wildfires
	7.b.	Value of assets destroyed by utility-ignited wildfire (normalized)	0	0	0	0	0	Dollars of damage or destruction per RFW circuit mile day per year	BVES has not had any utility-ignited wildfires
8. Structures damaged or destroyed by utility-ignited wildfire	8.a.	Number of structures destroyed by utility-ignited wildfire (total)	0	0	0	0	0	Number of structures destroyed per year	BVES has not had any utility-ignited wildfires
	8.b.	Number of structures destroyed by utility-ignited wildfire (normalized)	0	0	0	0	0	Number of structures destroyed per RFW circuit mile day per year	BVES has not had any utility-ignited wildfires
9. Acreage burned by utility-ignited wildfire	9.a.	Acreage burned by utility-ignited wildfire (total)	0	0	0	0	0	Acrees burned per year	BVES has not had any utility-ignited wildfires
	9.b.	Acreage burned by utility-ignited wildfire (normalized)	0	0	0	0	0	Acrees burned per RFW circuit mile day per year	BVES has not had any utility-ignited wildfires
10. Number of utility wildfire ignitions	10.a.	Number of ignitions (total) according to wildfire ignition data reporting requirement	0	0	0	0	0	Number per year	BVES had not had any ignitions
	10.b.	Number of ignitions (normalized)	0	0	0	0	0	Number per RFW circuit mile day per year	BVES had not had any ignitions
	10.c.	Number of ignitions in HFTD (total)	0	0	0	0	0	Number in HFTD per year	BVES had not had any ignitions
	10.c.1.	Number of ignitions in HFTD Zone 1	0	0	0	0	0	Number in HFTD Zone 1 per year	BVES had not had any ignitions
	10.c.2.	Number of ignitions in HFTD Tier 2	0	0	0	0	0	Number in HFTD Tier 2 per year	BVES had not had any ignitions
	10.c.3.	Number of ignitions in HFTD Tier 3	0	0	0	0	0	Number in HFTD Tier 3 per year	BVES had not had any ignitions
	10.c.4.	Number of ignitions in HFTD (non-HFTD) (normalized)	0	0	0	0	0	Number in HFTD per RFW circuit mile day per year	BVES had not had any ignitions
	10.d.1.	Number of ignitions in HFTD Zone 1 (normalized)	0	0	0	0	0	Number in HFTD Zone 1 per RFW circuit mile day per year	BVES had not had any ignitions
	10.d.2.	Number of ignitions in HFTD Tier 2 (normalized)	0	0	0	0	0	Number in HFTD Tier 2 per RFW circuit mile day per year	BVES had not had any ignitions
	10.d.3.	Number of ignitions in HFTD Tier 3 (normalized)	0	0	0	0	0	Number in HFTD Tier 3 per RFW circuit mile day per year	BVES had not had any ignitions
11. Critical infrastructure impacted	11.a.	Number of ignitions in non-HFTD (total)	0	0	0	0	0	Number in non-HFTD per year	BVES had not had any ignitions
	11.b.	Number of ignitions in non-HFTD (normalized)	0	0	0	0	0	Number in non-HFTD per RFW circuit mile day per year	BVES had not had any ignitions
	11.c.	Critical infrastructure impacted by PSPS	0	0	0	0	0	Number of critical infrastructure (in accordance with D.19-05-042) locations impacted per hour multiplied by hours offline per year	BVES has not needed to initiate any PSPS events
	11.d.	Critical infrastructure impacted by PSPS (normalized)	0	0	0	0	0	Number of critical infrastructure (in accordance with D.19-05-042) locations impacted per hour multiplied by hours offline per RFW circuit mile day per year	BVES has not needed to initiate any PSPS events

Table 9: List and description of additional metrics, last 5 years

Metric Category	Metric	2020 Performance					Units	Underlying assumptions	Third-party validation	
		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019				
Overall Plan	Number of reportable fire incidents (D14-G2-015 Appendix C: Fire Incident Data Collection Plan)	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	0	Number of incidents	Assess overall effectiveness of the plan	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVEIS GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
	Number of bare line contact with vegetation	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	0	Number of contact events	Assess if plan has reduced risk events	
	Number of the wire down events	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	0	Number of events	Assess if plan has reduced risk events	
	Number of conventional blown fuse events	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	1	Number of events	Assess if plan has reduced risk events	
	Number of poles assessed	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	553	Number of poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of poles that failed assessment (wind loading, age, deterioration, unfixable GO-95 votator)	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	384	Number of poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of poles replaced as a result of failed assessments	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	215	Number of poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of poles remediated as a result of failed assessments	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	61	Number poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Tree Attachments Removed	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	43	Number of attachments	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of new poles installed as a result of Tree Attachments Removed	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	9	Number of poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
Infrastructure	Length of Bare Wire Covered (Circuit Miles)	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	1	Length of wire (circuit miles)	Determine if plan is on schedule	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVEIS GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
	Number of conventional fuses replaced by current testing fuses	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	285	Number of fuses	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of conventional fuses replaced by fused trip sawers (vacuum style)	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	8	Number of fuses	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Conventional Fuses in system	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	3,374	Number of fuses	Assess overall system hardening	
	Percent of 34.5 kV System that is Overhead Bare Wire	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	93.93%	Percent of 34.5 kV circuit miles	Assess overall system hardening	
	Percent of 34.5 kV System that is Underground	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	2.74%	Percent of 34.5 kV circuit miles	Assess overall system hardening	
	Percent of 34.5 kV System that is Covered Wire	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	3.33%	Percent of 34.5 kV circuit miles	Assess overall system hardening	
	Percent of 4 kV System that is Overhead Bare Wire	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	71.56%	Percent of 4 kV circuit miles	Assess overall system hardening	
	Percent of 4 kV System that is Underground	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	28.44%	Percent of 4 kV circuit miles	Assess overall system hardening	
	Percent of 4 kV System that is Covered Wire	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	0.00%	Percent of 4 kV circuit miles	Assess overall system hardening	
System Hardening	Number of Tree Attachments Remaining in System	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	973	Number of attachments	Assess overall system hardening	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVEIS GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
	Number of "urgent" Vegetation Orders issued (must be corrected w/30 days)	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	34	Number of orders	Assess if vegetation management plan has reduced risk events	
	Number of "urgent" Vegetation Orders Outstanding	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	0	Number of orders	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Trees Trimmed	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	5,378	Number of trees	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Trees Removed	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	87	Number of trees	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Percent of OH System Cleared by Tree Trimming Crews	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	30.62%	Percent of OH system	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Level 1 GO-95 Potential Non-Compliance (immediate risk of high potential impact to safety or reliability) items identified	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	0	Number of items	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Level 1 GO-95 Potential Non-Compliance (immediate risk of high potential impact to safety or reliability) items Outstanding	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	0	Number of items	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Level 2 GO-95 Potential Non-Compliance (any other risk of at least moderate potential impact to safety or reliability) items identified	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	52	Number of items	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Level 2 GO-95 Potential Non-Compliance (any other risk of at least moderate potential impact to safety or reliability) items Outstanding	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	0	Number of items	Determine if plan is on schedule	
Operations	Number of Level 3 GO-95 Potential Non-Compliance (any risk of low potential impact to safety or reliability) items identified	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	139	Number items	Determine if plan is on schedule	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVEIS GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
	Number of Level 3 GO-95 Potential Non-Compliance (any risk of low potential impact to safety or reliability) items Outstanding	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	0	Number items	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Circuit Miles Patrolled per GO-165	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	118.61	Number of Circuit Miles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Circuit Miles Inspected per GO-165 (detailed inspection)	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	12	Number of Circuit Miles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Poles Intensively Inspected	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	46	Number of Poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Poles Falling Intensive Inspection	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2015 WSP	9	Number of Poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	

	Number of Circuit Miles of LIDAR Survey	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	0	Number of Circuit Miles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of LIDAR trouble spots	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	0	Number of spots	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Circuit Miles of ExacStar Survey	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	120	Number of Circuit Miles	Assess if communications plan has reduced customer concerns and risk events	
	Number of ExacStar trouble spots	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	10	Number of trouble spots	Assess outage impact on customer as a result of PSPS	
Customer Service	Number of Customer Service Calls about Tree Trimming	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	0	Number of Calls	Monitor changing climatic and weather patterns	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVE's GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
	SADI due to PSPS	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	0	System Average Interruption Duration Index	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
Weather Conditions	Number of NFDs "Very Dry" and "Dry" Days	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	150	Number of Days	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVE's GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
PSPS	Number of PSPS Events	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	0	Number of Events	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVE's GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
	Maximum recorded sustained winds Recorded by NWS	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	33	Miles per Hour	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
	Maximum recorded sustained winds Recorded by BVE's Weather Stations	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	77.8	Miles per Hour	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
	Maximum recorded wind gusts Recorded by NWS	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	53	Miles per Hour	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
	Maximum recorded wind gusts Recorded by BVE's Weather Stations	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	77.8	Miles per Hour	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
	Frequency of sustained high winds (number of days sustained wind > 50 mph) recorded by NWS	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	0	Number of Days	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
	Frequency of sustained high winds (number of days sustained wind > 50 mph) recorded by BVE's weather stations	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	2	Number of Days	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
	Frequency of high wind gusts (number of days wind gusts > 50 mph) recorded by NWS	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	1	Number of Days	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
Frequency of high wind gusts (number of days wind gusts > 50 mph) recorded by BVE's weather stations	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	N/A - metric not recorded prior to 2019 WMP	2	Number of Days	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns		

Note: Data from 2015-2018 is unavailable as these metrics were not recorded prior to implementation of the current (2019) WMP, which took effect June 2019.

Table 4: List and description of program targets, last 5 years

Metric Category	Metric	Program target	2019 performance	Units	Underlying assumptions	Third-party validation
Overall Plan	Number of reportable fire incidents (D14-02-015 Appendix C: Fire Incident Data Collection Plan)	0	0	Number of incidents	Assess overall effectiveness of the plan	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVES GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
Infrastructure	Number of bare line contact with vegetation	<5	0	Number of contact events	Assess if plan has reduced risk events	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVES GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
	Number of live wire down events	<1	0	Number of events	Assess if plan has reduced risk events	
	Number of conventional blown fuse events	<5	1	Number of events	Assess if plan has reduced risk events	
	Number of poles assessed	500	553	Number of poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of poles that failed assessment (wind loading, age, deterioration, unfixable GO-95 violation)	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	384	Number of poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of poles replaced as a result of failed assessments	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	215	Number of poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of poles remediated as a result of failed assessments	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	61	Number of poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Tree Attachments Removed	75	43	Number of attachments	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of new poles installed as a result of Tree Attachments Removed	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	9	Number of poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Length of Bare Wire Covered (Circuit Miles)	1	1	Length of wire (circuit miles)	Determine if plan is on schedule	
System Hardening	Number of conventional fuses replaced by current limiting fuses	1,288	583	Number of fuses	Determine if plan is on schedule	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVES GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
	Number of conventional fuses replaced by fused trip savers (vacuum style)	314	29	Number of fuses	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Conventional fuses in system	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	3374	Number of fuses	Assess overall system hardening	
	Percent of 34.5 kV System that is Overhead Bare Wire	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	93.93%	Percent of 34.5 kV circuit miles	Assess overall system hardening	
	Percent of 34.5 kV System that is Underground	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	2.74%	Percent of 34.5 kV circuit miles	Assess overall system hardening	
	Percent of 34.5 kV System that is Covered Wire	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	3.33%	Percent of 34.5 kV circuit miles	Assess overall system hardening	
	Percent of 4 kV System that is Overhead Bare Wire	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	71.56%	Percent of 4 kV circuit miles	Assess overall system hardening	
	Percent of 4 kV System that is Underground	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	28.44%	Percent of 4 kV circuit miles	Assess overall system hardening	
	Percent of 4 kV System that is Covered Wire	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	0	Percent of 4 kV circuit miles	Assess overall system hardening	
	Number of Tree Attachments Remaining in System	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	973	Number of attachments	Assess overall system hardening	
Operations	Number of "Urgent" Vegetation Orders issued (must be corrected w/30 days)	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	34	Number of orders	Assess if vegetation management plan has reduced risk events	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVES GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
	Number of "Urgent" Vegetation Orders Outstanding	0	0	Number of orders	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Trees Trimmed	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	5378	Number of trees	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Trees Removed	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	87	Number of trees	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Percent of OH System Cleared by Tree Trimming Crews	0.15	0.306122449	Percent of OH system	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Level 1 GO-95 Potential Non-Compliance (Immediate risk of high potential impact to safety or reliability) Items Identified	0	0	Number of Items	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Level 1 GO-95 Potential Non-Compliance (Immediate risk of high potential impact to safety or reliability) Items Outstanding	0	0	Number of Items	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Level 2 GO-95 Potential Non-Compliance (Any other risk of at least moderate potential impact to safety or reliability) Items Identified	<50	52	Number of Items	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Level 2 GO-95 Potential Non-Compliance (Any other risk of at least moderate potential impact to safety or reliability) Items Outstanding	0	0	Number of Items	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Level 3 GO-95 Potential Non-Compliance (Any risk of low potential impact to safety or reliability) Items Identified	<1500	139	Number Items	Determine if plan is on schedule	
Customer Service	Number of Circuit Miles Patrolled per GO-165	118	118.61	Number of Circuit Miles	Determine if plan is on schedule	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVES GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
	Number of Circuit Miles Inspected per GO-165 (detailed inspection)	12	12	Number of Circuit Miles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Poles Intrusively Inspected	45	46	Number of Poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Poles Falling Intrusive Inspection	NA	9	Number of Poles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Circuit Miles of LIDAR Survey	211	0	Number of Circuit Miles	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of LIDAR trouble spots	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	0	Number of spots	Determine if plan is on schedule	
	Number of Circuit Miles of Exacter Survey	<30	120	Number of Circuit Miles	Assess if communications plan has reduced customer concerns and risk events	
	Number of Exacter trouble spots	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	10	Number of trouble spots	Assess outage impact on customers as a result of PSPS	
	Number of Customer Service Calls about Tree Trimming	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	0	Number of Calls	Monitor changing climatic and weather patterns	
	SAIDI due to PSPS	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	0	System Average Interruption Duration Index	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
Weather Conditions	Number of NDRS "Very Dry" and "Dry" Days	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	150	Number of Days	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	Contracted 3rd party analysts or academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVES GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
	Number of PSPS Events	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	0	Number of Events	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
	Maximum recorded sustained winds Recorded by NWS	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	33	Miles per Hour	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
	Maximum recorded sustained winds Recorded by BVES Weather Stations	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	77.8	Miles per Hour	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
	Maximum recorded wind gusts Recorded by NWS	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	53	Miles per Hour	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
Maximum recorded wind gusts Recorded by BVES Weather Stations	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	77.8	Miles per Hour	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	Contracted 3rd party analysts or	

PSPS	Frequency of sustained high winds (number of days sustained wind > 50 mph) recorded by NWS	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	0	Number of Days	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	academic researchers could review open as well as closed work orders, BVE5 GIS databases, staff interviews, as well as spot-checking select items for confirmation of status.
	Frequency of sustained high winds (number of days sustained wind > 50 mph) recorded by BVE5 weather stations	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	2	Number of Days	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
	Frequency of high wind gusts (number of days wind gusts > 50 mph) recorded by NWS	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	1	Number of Days	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	
	Frequency of high wind gusts (number of days wind gusts > 50 mph) recorded by BVE5 weather stations	N/A - this program does not have a specific target	2	Number of Days	Monitor the need for PSPS events over time as an indicator of changing climatic and weather patterns	

Note: The "2019 Performance" column only captures data from June 2019 (2019 WMP implementation start) to January 2020. Some "Program Targets" are estimates for May 2020 (2019 WMP end) based on June 2019-January 2020 performance.

Table 6: OSHA-reportable injuries due to utility wildfire mitigation initiatives, last 5 years

Activity	Victim															Total
	Full-time employee					Contractor					Member of public					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
Inspection	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vegetation management	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Utility fuel management	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grid hardening	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: On July 19, 2018, a line worker and the owner of Teele Tree Services made contact with a high voltage power line and sustained non-fatal injuries. The injury did not require reporting under CalOSHA guidelines but BVES chose to report the incident.

Table 7: Methodology for potential impact of ignitions
 Response to WSD data request item BVES-43879-B-135

List of all data inputs used in impact simulation	Sources of data inputs	Data selection and treatment methodologies	Assumptions, including SME input	Equation(s), functions, or other algorithms used to obtain output	Output type(s), e.g., wind speed model	Comments
N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have a proprietary model or methodology for evaluating the potential impact of ignitions. The utility's Subject Matter Expert evaluates the frequency of potential ignition events versus a set of impact categories (reliability, compliance, quality of service, safety and environmental) to develop total risk impact and scores.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have a proprietary model or methodology for evaluating the potential impact of ignitions. The utility's Subject Matter Expert evaluates the frequency of potential ignition events versus a set of impact categories (reliability, compliance, quality of service, safety and environmental) to develop total risk impact and scores.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have a proprietary model or methodology for evaluating the potential impact of ignitions. The utility's Subject Matter Expert evaluates the frequency of potential ignition events versus a set of impact categories (reliability, compliance, quality of service, safety and environmental) to develop total risk impact and scores.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have a proprietary model or methodology for evaluating the potential impact of ignitions. The utility's Subject Matter Expert evaluates the frequency of potential ignition events versus a set of impact categories (reliability, compliance, quality of service, safety and environmental) to develop total risk impact and scores.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have a proprietary model or methodology for evaluating the potential impact of ignitions. The utility's Subject Matter Expert evaluates the frequency of potential ignition events versus a set of impact categories (reliability, compliance, quality of service, safety and environmental) to develop total risk impact and scores.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have a proprietary model or methodology for evaluating the potential impact of ignitions. The utility's Subject Matter Expert evaluates the frequency of potential ignition events versus a set of impact categories (reliability, compliance, quality of service, safety and environmental) to develop total risk impact and scores.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have a proprietary model or methodology for evaluating the potential impact of ignitions. The utility's Subject Matter Expert evaluates the frequency of potential ignition events versus a set of impact categories (reliability, compliance, quality of service, safety and environmental) to develop total risk impact and scores.

Table 8: Map file requirements for recent and modelled conditions of utility service territory, last 5 years

Layer name	Measurements	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Average	Units	Attachment location	Comments
Recent weather patterns	Average annual number of Red Flag Warning days per square mile across service territory	0.0912	0.4427	0.4909	0.3307	0.1979	0.3107	Area, days, square mile resolution		BVES's service territory is 32 square miles
	Average 95 th percentile wind speed and prevailing direction (actual)	N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time	N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time	N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time	N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time	N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time	N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time	Area, miles per hour, at a square mile resolution or better, noting where measurements are actual or interpolated		N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time
	Average 99 th percentile wind speed and prevailing direction (actual)	N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time	N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time	N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time	N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time	N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time	N/A - BVES is unable to provide this data at this time			BVES is unable to provide this data for each year at this time.
Recent drivers of ignition probability	Date of recent ignitions categorized by ignition probability driver	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.		Point, GPS coordinates, days, square mile resolution	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.
Recent use of PSPS	Duration of PSPS events and area of the grid affected in customer hours per year	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.	Area, customer hours, square mile resolution	N/A - No event of this type occurred during the 2015 - 2019 period; not applicable.	BVES has not had any recent use of PSPS

Note:
BVES is unable to provide the above requested data in GIS map file format at

Table 9: Map file requirements for baseline condition of utility service territory projected for 2020

Note: BVES is unable to provide most of the data requested in GIS format at this time. The GIS files provided with this WAMP submission include information on customer distribution location of all utility assets such as distribution lines (the utility does not operate any transmission lines according to the Commission's definition thereof), substations, generating facilities, switchgear, etc. Where such data cannot be provided in GIS format at this time, the utility has provided the data it can in the tables below. Line items in red text under "Location of Weather Stations" represent planned future additions.

Layer Name	Measurements/Variables	Value	Unit(s)	Appendix Location
Current baseline state of service territory and utility equipment	Non-HFTS vs HFTS (Zone 1, Tier 2, Tier 3) regions of utility service territory	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Area, square mile resolution per type	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time
	Urban vs. rural vs. highly rural regions of utility service territory	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Area, square mile resolution per type	
	WIS regions of utility service territory	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Area, square mile resolution per type	

Layer Name	Measurements/Variables	Critical Facility	Address	GPS Coordinate	Unit(s)	Appendix Location
Current baseline state of service territory and utility equipment	Number and location of critical facilities	City of Big Bear Lake (CBSL)	5077 Big Bear Blvd., Big Bear Lake, CA	34.28138, -116.850334	Point, GPS Coordinate	N/A
		Big Bear Fire Department	41006 Big Bear Blvd., Big Bear Lake CA	34.24454, -116.850330		
		Mountaintop Ranger District, U.S. Forest Service	41274 North Shore Drive, Hwasy St Fremont, CA 92333	34.26441, -116.850064		
		San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department Big Bear Lake Patrol Station	477 Summit Blvd., Big Bear Lake, CA 92315	34.24900, -116.867824		
		Big Bear Area Regional Waterworks Agency (BBARWA)	121 Palomino Dr., Big Bear City, CA 92314	34.26766, -116.814971		
		Big Bear City Community Services District (CSO)	138 E. Big Bear Blvd., CA 92314	34.26133, -116.844248		
		Big Bear Lake Water Department (DWO)	43772 Garwin Dr., Big Bear Lake, CA 92315	34.24600, -116.886294		
		Big Bear Municipal Water District (MWD)	40524 Lakeview Ct., Big Bear Lake, CA 92315	34.24787, -116.917948		
		Southwest Gas Corporation	140 Business Center Dr., Big Bear Lake, CA 92315	34.24938, -116.888979		
		Bear Valley Community Hospital	43870 Garwin Dr., Big Bear Lake, CA 92315	34.24829, -116.881211		
		Bear Valley Unified School District	42271 Mesquite Rd., CA 92315	34.24245, -116.881211		
		Big Bear Chamber of Commerce	630 Barrett Rd., Big Bear Lake, CA 92315	34.24133, -116.912396		
		Big Bear Airport District	501 W. Valley Blvd., Big Bear City, CA 92314	34.21894, -116.873885		
		Big Bear Mountain Resort Summit	885 Summit Blvd., Big Bear Lake, CA 92315	34.28447, -116.889972		

Layer Name	Measurements/Variables	Value	Unit(s)	Appendix Location
Current baseline state of service territory and utility equipment	Number and location of customers	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Area, number of people, square mile resolution	N/A
	Name and location of customers belonging to active and functional needs populations	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Area, number of people, square mile resolution	
	Overhead transmission lines	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Line, quarter mile resolution	
	Overhead distribution lines	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Line, quarter mile resolution	

Layer Name	Measurements/Variables	Weather Station Name	Address	GPS Coordinate	Unit(s)	Appendix Location
Current baseline state of service territory and utility equipment	Location of Substations	Deep City Sub	324 West Mesquite Ln., Big Bear City, 92314	34.26138, -116.850334	Point, GPS Coordinate	S 4
		Deep City Sub	Lamar Dr., 101070, 92314, Big Bear City, 92314	34.26138, -116.850334		
		Division Sub	109 W/O Division Dr., Big Bear Lake, 92314	34.26389, -116.850589		
		Grandview Sub	132 Corner of Grandview Dr., Big Bear Lake, 92314	34.26404, -116.851189		
		Lake Sub	30410N Dr., 40272, 92314, Big Bear Lake, 92315	34.24239, -116.851879		
		Madison Sub	132 Corner of Madison Blvd. & Shore Dr., Big Bear City, 92314	34.26138, -116.850334		
		Marina Sub	1470 Shoreline Ln. & 2027, 92315, Marina, Big Bear Lake, 92314	34.26288, -116.827029		
		Northridge Sub	903 25100, 92315, Big Bear Lake, 92315	34.27109, -116.851189		
		Northridge Sub	132 Corner of Chalmers Dr. & Thomas Dr., Big Bear Lake, 92315	34.26177, -116.863829		
		Palomino Sub	903 Shore Rd. & 475, 92315, Palomino Dr., Big Bear Lake, 92314	34.26609, -116.814988		
Power House Sub	132 Corner of Latham Dr. & Ventura St., Big Bear Lake, 92315	34.26133, -116.850344				
Summit Sub	1307 Corner of Summit Blvd., 92315, Summit Blvd., Big Bear Lake, 92315	34.26133, -116.850344				
Village Sub	1307 W/O Knickerbocker Rd., Big Bear Lake, 92315	34.24814, -116.850389				

Layer Name	Measurements/Variables	Weather Station Name	Address	GPS Coordinate	Unit(s)	Appendix Location	
Current baseline state of service territory and utility equipment	Location of Weather Stations	Appleton	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077	Point, GPS Coordinate	N/A
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		
		Aspen	4882767 11031088	1103077 71030101	1103077		

Layer Name	Measurement/variables	Value	Unit(s)	Appendix Location
Current baseline state of service territory and utility equipment	All utility assets by asset type, model, age, specifications, and condition	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Point, GPS Coordinate	N/A

Layer Name	Measurements/Variables	Value	Unit(s)	Appendix Location
Location of planned utility equipment additions or removal	Non-HFTS vs HFTS (Zone 1, Tier 2, Tier 3) regions of utility service territory	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Line, quarter mile resolution	N/A
	Urban vs. rural vs. highly rural regions of utility service territory	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Line, quarter mile resolution	
	WIS regions of utility service territory	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Line, quarter mile resolution	
	Class of overhead transmission lines	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Line, quarter mile resolution	
	Class of overhead distribution lines	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Line, quarter mile resolution	

Layer Name	Measurements/Variables	Value	Unit(s)	Appendix Location
Planned 2020 WAMP initiative activity per year	Location of 2020 WAMP initiative activity for each activity as planned to be completed by the end of each year of the plan term	N/A - BVES does not have this information at this time	Line, quarter mile resolution	N/A

Table 10: Weather patterns, last 5 years
 Response to WSD data request item BVES-43879-1-143

Weather measurement	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	5-year historical average	Unit(s)
Red Flag Warning days	614.93	2,986.55	3,311.40	2,231.00	1,335.06	2,095.79	RFW circuit mile days per year
Days rated at the top 30% of proprietary fire potential index or similar fire risk index measure	107	151	118	129	87	118.40	Circuit mile days where proprietary measure rated above top 30% threshold per year
95 th percentile wind conditions	5,691.87	8,221.59	8,643.21	6,956.73	14,967.51	8,896.18	Circuit mile days with wind gusts over 95th percentile historical (meaning the prior 10 years, 2005-2014) conditions per year
99 th percentile wind conditions	1,897.29	2,318.91	2,318.91	1,686.48	6,535.11	2,951.34	Circuit mile days with wind gusts over 99th percentile historical (meaning the prior 10 years, 2005-2014) conditions per year
Other	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service cannot provide data on any other weather patterns to the specificity requested at this time	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service cannot provide data on any other weather patterns to the specificity requested at this time	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service cannot provide data on any other weather patterns to the specificity requested at this time	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service cannot provide data on any other weather patterns to the specificity requested at this time	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service cannot provide data on any other weather patterns to the specificity requested at this time	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service cannot provide data on any other weather patterns to the specificity requested at this time	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service cannot provide data on any other weather patterns to the specificity requested at this time

Note:

BVES uses a contracted meteorologist that integrates data from the NFDRS, National Weather Service, and local real-time data from BVES' distributed weather stations (to account for local micro-climates) to ultimately assess relative local fire danger and risk. Reports are normally given weekly, and more often -- up to several times a day -- during heightened threat conditions. Operations personnel and leadership receive automated real-time alerts from BVES' weather stations when local winds exceed thresholds.

Navigant Consulting, Inc. (Navigant) assessed the NFDRS and estimated fire ratings of Brown ("Very Dry") or more severe as falling within the top 30% of the NFDRS.

When calculating circuit-mile days, Navigant multiplied the corresponding metric (RFW days, 95th/99th percentile wind conditions days) by the total number of overhead circuit miles in BVES' service territory, assuming that underground circuit miles are unaffected by wind conditions. Including underground circuit miles in this calculation would deflate the actual assessment of risk posed by wind and other wildfire-risk conditions. When a Red Flag Warning is issued for the San Bernardino Mountains, - including Big Bear Valley, which encompasses the entirety of BVES' service territory - the Warning applies to 100% of BVES' service territory.

Table 11: Key recent drivers of ignition probability, last 5 years

Incident type by ignition probability driver	Near misses tracked (y/n)?	Number of incidents per year							Average percentage probability of ignition per incident						Number of ignitions per year from this driver					
		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Average	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Average	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Average	
Contact from object	All types of object contact	Y	6	35	12	8	4	13	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Animal contact	Y	0	0	1	1	1	0.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Balloon contact	Y	0	1	0	0	0	0.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Veg. contact	Y	6	34	11	7	3	12.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Vehicle contact	Y	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
All types of equipment / facility failure	All types	Y	40	40	42	23	16	32.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Capacitor bank failure	Y	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Conductor failure—all	Y	0	3	0	0	3	1.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Conductor failure—wires down	Y	0	3	0	0	3	1.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fuse failure—all	Y	18	15	20	12	4	13.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fuse failure—conventional blown fuse	Y	18	15	20	10	4	13.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lightning arrester failure	Y	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Switch failure	Y	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Transformer failure	Y	4	4	2	1	2	2.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wire-to-wire contact / contamination	Y	0	0	1	1	2	0.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	Y	0	1	0	0	0	0.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Note: In 2018, an umbrella was caught in one of BVES's overhead distribution lines.

Table 12: Recent use of PSPS, last 5 years

PSPS characteristic	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Unit(s)
Frequency of PSPS events (total)	0	0	0	0	0	Number of instances where utility operating protocol requires de-energization of a circuit or portion thereof to reduce ignition probability, per year
Frequency of PSPS events (normalized)	0	0	0	0	0	Number of instances where utility operating protocol requires de-energization of a circuit or portion thereof in order to reduce ignition probability, per RFW circuit mile day per year
Scope of PPS events (total)	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	Circuit-events, measured in number of events multiplied by number of circuits de-energized per year
Scope of PPS events (normalized)	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	Circuit-events, measured in number of events multiplied by number of circuits targeted for de-energization per RFW circuit mile day per year
Duration of PPS events (total)	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	Customer hours per year
Duration of PPS events (normalized)	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	N/A - BVES did not have any PPS events in this year	Customer hours per RFW circuit mile day per year
Other	N/A - no other PPS-related data to report	N/A - no other PPS-related data to report	N/A - no other PPS-related data to report	N/A - no other PPS-related data to report	N/A - no other PPS-related data to report	N/A - no other PPS-related data to report

Note: BVES has not had any recent use of PPS over the 2015-2019 period.

Table 14: Summary data on weather station count

Weather station count type	Current count	Unit(s)
Number of weather stations (total)	11	Total number located in service territory and operated by utility
Number of weather stations (normalized)	0.0522	Total number located in service territory and operated by utility, divided by total number of circuit miles in utility service territory
Number of weather stations in non-HFTD (total)	0	Total number located in non-HFTD service territory and operated by utility
Number of weather stations in non-HFTD (normalized)	0	Total number located in non-HFTD service territory and operated by utility, divided by total number of circuit miles in non-HFTD service territory
Number of weather stations in HFTD Zone 1 (total)	0	Total number located in HFTD Zone 1 service territory and operated by utility
Number of weather stations in HFTD Zone 1 (normalized)	0	Total number located in HFTD Zone 1 service territory and operated by utility, divided by total number of circuit miles in HFTD Zone 1 service territory
Number of weather stations in HFTD Tier 2 (total)	10	Total number located in HFTD Tier 2 service territory and operated by utility
Number of weather stations in HFTD Tier 2 (normalized)	0.0477	Total number located in HFTD Tier 2 service territory and operated by utility, divided by total number of circuit miles in HFTD Tier 2 service territory
Number of weather stations in HFTD Tier 3 (total)	1	Total number located in HFTD Tier 3 service territory and operated by utility
Number of weather stations in HFTD Tier 3 (normalized)	0.7874	Total number located in HFTD Tier 3 service territory and operated by utility, divided by total number of circuit miles in HFTD Tier 3 service territory

Note:

The utility's service territory is entirely rural and either HFTD Tier 2 or Tier 3.

Circuit miles were calculated as the total overhead circuit miles, assuming that underground circuit miles are unaffected by wind conditions. Including underground circuit miles in this calculation would deflate the actual assessment of risk posed by wind and other wildfire-risk conditions.

Table 15: Summary data on fault indicator count

Fault indicator count type	Current count	Unit(s)
Number of fault indicators (total)	87	Total number located in service territory and operated by utility
Number of fault indicators (normalized)	0.4127	Total number located in service territory and operated by utility, divided by total number of circuit miles in utility service territory
Number of fault indicators in non-HFTD (total)	0	Total number located in non-HFTD service territory and operated by utility
Number of fault indicators in non-HFTD (normalized)	0	Total number located in non-HFTD service territory and operated by utility, divided by total number of circuit miles in non-HFTD service territory
Number of fault indicators in HFTD Zone 1 (total)	0	Total number located in HFTD Zone 1 service territory and operated by utility
Number of fault indicators in HFTD Zone 1 (normalized)	0	Total number located in HFTD Zone 1 service territory and operated by utility, divided by total number of circuit miles in HFTD Zone 1 service territory
Number of fault indicators in HFTD Tier 2 (total)	87	Total number located in HFTD Tier 2 service territory and operated by utility
Number of fault indicators in HFTD Tier 2 (normalized)	0.4152	Total number located in HFTD Tier 2 service territory and operated by utility, divided by total number of circuit miles in HFTD Tier 2 service territory
Number of fault indicators in HFTD Tier 3 (total)	0	Total number located in HFTD Tier 3 service territory and operated by utility
Number of fault indicators in HFTD Tier 3 (normalized)	0	Total number located in HFTD Tier 3 service territory and operated by utility, divided by total number of circuit miles in HFTD Tier 3 service territory

Note: The utility's service territory is entirely rural and either HFTD Tier 2 or Tier 3.

Circuit miles were calculated as the total overhead circuit miles, assuming that underground circuit miles are unaffected by wind conditions. Including underground circuit miles in this calculation would deflate the actual assessment of risk posed by wind and other wildfire-risk conditions.

In highly rural areas	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.
	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.
	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines in WUI	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.
	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines in WUI	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.
	Number of substations	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.
	Number of substations in WUI	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.
	Number of weather stations	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service has not previously tracked weather stations within the WUI but will do so going forward. does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service has not previously tracked weather stations within the WUI but will do so going forward. does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service has not previously tracked weather stations within the WUI but will do so going forward. does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service has not previously tracked weather stations within the WUI but will do so going forward. does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.
	Number of weather stations in WUI	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.	N/A - Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any highly rural areas. The utility's service territory is entirely rural.

Transmission lines refer to all lines at or above 65kV, and distribution lines refer to all lines below 65kV.

Note: The utility does not have any transmission lines as all of its lines are below 65kV.

The utility does not plan to add or remove any overhead distribution lines.

BVES does not track which portions of its distribution system and other utility-owned infrastructure or assets are located in WUI-designated areas.

The utility does not have any urban or highly rural areas. BVES' entire service territory is rural.

Table 18: Key drivers of ignition probability

Ignition probability drivers		Number of incidents per year (according to 5-year historical average)	Average likelihood of ignition per incident	Ignitions from this driver (according to 5-year historical average)				
				Total	In non-HFTD	In HFTD Zone 1	In HFTD Tier 2	In HFTD Tier 3
Contact from object	All types of object contact	13	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
	Animal contact	0.6	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
	Balloon contact	0.2	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
	Vegetation contact	12.2	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
	Vehicle contact	0	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
All types of equipment / facility failure	All types	32.2	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
	Capacitor bank failure	0	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
	Conductor failure—all	1.2	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
	Conductor failure—wires down	1.2	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
	Fuse failure—all	13.8	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
	Fuse failure—conventional blown fuse	13.4	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
	Lightning arrestor failure	0	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
	Switch failure	0	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
	Transformer failure	2.6	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0
Wire-to-wire contact / contamination	0.8	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0	
Other	0.2	0%	0	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	N/A - the utility's entire Service Territory is either HFTD 2 or 3	0	0	

Note: The utility's service territory is in either HFTD Tier 2 or Tier 3

4.1 The Objectives of the Plan

The objectives of the plan shall, at a minimum, be consistent with the requirements of California Public Utilities Code §8386(a). Describe utility WMP objectives, categorized by each of the following timeframes:

- Before the upcoming wildfire season, as defined by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE),
- Before the next annual update,
- Within the next 3 years, and
- Within the next 10 years.

4.2.1 Service territory fire-threat evaluation and ignition risk trends

Discuss fire-threat evaluation of the service territory to determine whether an expanded High Fire Threat District (HFTD) is warranted (i.e., beyond existing Tier 2 and Tier 3 areas). This section shall include a discussion of any fire threat assessment of its service territory performed by the electrical corporation. In the event that the electrical corporation's assessment determines the fire threat rating for any part of its service territory is insufficient (i.e., the actual fire threat is greater than what is indicated in the CPUC Fire Threat Map and High Fire Threat District designations), the corporation shall identify those areas for consideration of HFTD modification, based on the new information or environmental changes. To the extent this identification relies upon a meteorological or climatological study, a thorough explanation and copy of the study shall be included.

BVES has not performed any study in 2019 to determine whether expansion of the HFTD tiers are necessary, though is aware of the need to reevaluate these designations from time to time and will consider this effort in subsequent WMP filings. BVES operates with the inherent risk factor of the service area's mountainous, alpine terrain, which makes up Tier 2 and Tier 3 regions of the HFTD. Field operational practices that include fire-threat conditions/stipulations are considered as part of general business practice. BVES did not meet trigger thresholds to initiate a PSPS event during the 2019 fire season, leading to the understanding that the Commission has suitably mapped the fire threat profile for the service territory at this time.

An immediate activity the utility will pursue before the next wildfire season will be addressing the Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) designations, as the utility has not previously tracked these zones in wildfire mitigation planning. BVES understands that the risk area for the WUI maps atop the Tier 2 and 3 designations from the HFTD. The utility does not have any urban or highly rural areas; the entire service territory is rural.

4.2 Understanding major trends impacting ignition probability and wildfire consequence

Describe how the utility assesses wildfire risk in terms of ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence, including use of Multi-Attribute Risk Score (MARS) and Multi-Attribute Value Function (MAVF) as in the Safety Model and Assessment Proceeding (S-MAP) and Risk Assessment Mitigation Phase (RAMP). Include description of how the utility distinguishes between these risks and the risks to safety and reliability. List and describe each "known local condition" that the utility monitors per GO 95, Rule 31.1, including how the condition is monitored and evaluated. In addition:

A. Describe how the utility monitors and accounts for the contribution of weather to ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence in its decision-making, including describing any utility-generated Fire Potential Index or other measure (including input variables, equations, the scale or rating system, an explanation of how uncertainties are accounted for, an explanation of how this index is used to inform operational decisions, and an explanation of how trends in index ratings impact medium-term decisions such as maintenance and longer-term decisions such as capital investments, etc.).

B. Describe how the utility monitors and accounts for the contribution of fuel conditions to ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence in its decision-making, including describing any proprietary fuel condition index (or other measures tracked), the outputs of said index or other measures, and the methodology used for projecting future fuel conditions. Include discussion of measurements and units for live fuel moisture content, dead fuel moisture content, density of each fuel type, and any other variables tracked. Describe the measures and thresholds the utility uses to determine extreme fuel conditions, including what fuel moisture measurements and threshold values the utility considers "extreme" and its strategy for how fuel conditions inform operational decision-making.

Table 19: Macro trends impacting ignition probability and/or wildfire consequence

Rank	Macro trends impacting utility ignited ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence by year 10	Comments
1	Change in ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence due to climate change	The utility expects climate change to produce significant increase in ignition probability over the 10-year period. Based on 2017 Climate Change and Health Profile Report San Bernardino County (UC Davis), California Fourth Climate Assessment.
3	Change in ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence due to relevant invasive species, such as bark beetles	The Big Bear Lake region has previously been affected by bark beetles, notably in the Summer of 2018 as a result of the then-ongoing drought in California. While the utility has not experienced any ignition events, increased dead tree density is likely as climate change creates more favorable Summer conditions for bark beetle populations.
2	Change in ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence due to other drivers of change in fuel density and moisture	The utility's service territory is in a heavily forested alpine environment. Any increase in fuel density and dryness creates a disproportionate increase in ignition probability and/or estimated wildfire consequences.
5	Population changes (including Access and Functional Needs population) that could be impacted by utility ignition	The utility's service territory is entirely in a mountain resort region. BVES does not expect significant population changes within its service territory and does not foresee measurable changes impacting ignition probability and/or wildfire consequence as a result thereof.
6	Population changes in HFTD that could be impacted by utility ignition	The utility's service territory is entirely in a mountain resort region. BVES does not expect significant population changes within its service territory and does not foresee measurable changes impacting ignition probability and/or wildfire consequence as a result thereof.
4	Population changes in WUI that could be impacted by utility ignition	The utility's service territory is entirely in a mountain resort region. BVES does not expect significant population changes within its service territory and does not foresee measurable changes impacting ignition probability and/or wildfire consequence as a result thereof.
7	Utility infrastructure location in HFTD vs non-HFTD	The utility's service territory is entirely in HFTD 2 or HFTD3. As a result, BVES does not foresee any differentiated impacts in ignition probability and/or wildfire consequence due to the location of utility infrastructure in HFTD vs non-HFTD
8	Utility infrastructure location in urban vs rural vs highly rural areas	The utility's service territory is entirely rural. As a result, BVES does not foresee any differentiated impacts in ignition probability and/or wildfire consequence due to the location of utility infrastructure in urban vs rural vs highly rural areas

List and describe any additional macro trends impacting ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence within utility service territory, including trends within the control of the utility, trends within the utility's ability to influence, and externalities (i.e., trends beyond the utility's control, such as population changes within the utility's territory).

In addition to the comments laid out in Table 19, see BVES 2020 WMP Section 3.2 through subsection 3.2.1.

List and describe all relevant drivers of ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequences and the mitigations that are identified in the Risk Assessment Mitigation Phase (RAMP) and not included in the above, including how these are expected to evolve. Rank these drivers from highest to lowest risk and describe how they are expected to evolve.

The CPUC has not required BVES to conduct a Risk Assessment and Mitigation Phase (RAMP) in prior GRC filings, however through its risk-based decision-making framework, BVES has created a list of risks and a prioritized list of mitigation measures. BVES 2020 WMP Section 3.2

4.3 Change in Ignition Probability Drivers

Based on the implementation of the above wildfire mitigation initiatives, explain how the utility sees its ignition probability drivers evolving over the 3 year term of the WMP. Focus on ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence reduction by ignition probability driver, detailed risk driver, and include a description of how the utility expects to see incidents evolve over the same period, both in total number (of occurrence of a given incident type, whether resulting in a near miss or in an ignition) and in likelihood of causing an ignition by type. Outline methodology for determining ignition probability from events, including data used to determine likelihood of ignition probability, such as past ignition events, number of near misses, and description of events (including vegetation and equipment condition).

4.4 Directional Vision for Necessity of PSPS

Describe any lessons learned from PSPS since the utility's last WMP submission and expectations for how the utility's PSPS program will evolve over the coming 1, 3, and 10 years. Be specific by including a description of the utility's protocols and thresholds for PSPS implementation. Include a quantitative description of how the circuits and numbers of customers that the utility expects will be impacted by any necessary PSPS events is expected to evolve over time. The description of protocols must be sufficiently detailed and clear to enable a skilled operator to follow the same protocols. When calculating anticipated PSPS, consider recent weather extremes, including peak weather conditions over the past 10 years as well as recent weather years and how the utility's current PSPS protocols would be applied to those years.

BVES did not initiate a PSPS event in 2019, therefore has no direct lessons learned to apply to 2020.

Table 20: Anticipated characteristics of PSPS use over next 10 years

Rank order 1-9	PSPS characteristic	Significantly increase; increase; no change; decrease; significantly decrease	Comments
N/A - BVES does not anticipate and has not had any PSPS events	Number of customers affected by PSPS events (total)	No change	BVES has not implemented any PSPS does not anticipate the need for PSPS over the next 10 years
N/A - BVES does not anticipate and has not had any PSPS events	Number of customers affected by PSPS events (normalized by fire weather, e.g., Red Flag Warning line mile days)	No change	BVES has not implemented any PSPS does not anticipate the need for PSPS over the next 10 years
N/A - BVES does not anticipate and has not had any PSPS events	Frequency of PSPS events in number of instances where utility operating protocol requires de-energization of a circuit or portion thereof to reduce ignition probability (total)	No change	BVES has not implemented any PSPS does not anticipate the need for PSPS over the next 10 years
N/A - BVES does not anticipate and has not had any PSPS events	Frequency of PSPS events in number of instances where utility operating protocol requires de-energization of a circuit or portion thereof to reduce ignition probability (normalized by fire weather, e.g., Red Flag Warning line mile days)	No change	BVES has not implemented any PSPS does not anticipate the need for PSPS over the next 10 years
N/A - BVES does not anticipate and has not had any PSPS events	Scope of PSPS events in circuit-events, measured in number of events multiplied by number of circuits targeted for de-energization (total)	No change	BVES has not implemented any PSPS does not anticipate the need for PSPS over the next 10 years
N/A - BVES does not anticipate and has not had any PSPS events	Scope of PSPS events in circuit-events, measured in number of events multiplied by number of circuits targeted for de-energization (normalized by fire weather, e.g., Red Flag Warning line mile days)	No change	BVES has not implemented any PSPS does not anticipate the need for PSPS over the next 10 years
N/A - BVES does not anticipate and has not had any PSPS events	Duration of PSPS events in customer hours (total)	No change	BVES has not implemented any PSPS does not anticipate the need for PSPS over the next 10 years
N/A - BVES does not anticipate and has not had any PSPS events	Duration of PSPS events in customer hours (normalized by fire weather, e.g., Red Flag Warning line mile days)	No change	BVES has not implemented any PSPS does not anticipate the need for PSPS over the next 10 years
N/A - BVES does not anticipate and has not had any PSPS events	Other	No change	BVES has not implemented any PSPS does not anticipate the need for PSPS over the next 10 years

5.1 Wildfire mitigation strategy

Describe organization-wide wildfire mitigation strategy and goals for each of the following time periods:

1. Before the upcoming wildfire season, as defined by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE),
2. Before the next annual update,
3. Within the next 3 years, and
4. Within the next 10 years.

The description of utility wildfire mitigation strategy shall:

- A. Discuss the utility's approach to determining how to manage wildfire risk (in terms of ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence) as distinct from managing risks to safety and/or reliability. Describe how this determination is made both for (1) the types of activities needed and (2) the extent of those activities needed to mitigate these two different groups of risks. Describe to what degree the activities needed to manage wildfire risk may be incremental to those needed to address safety and/or reliability risks.
- B. Include a summary of what major investments and implementation of wildfire mitigation initiatives achieved over the past year, any lessons learned, any changed circumstances for the 2020 WMP term (i.e., 2020-2022), and any corresponding adjustment in priorities for the upcoming plan term. Organize summaries of initiatives by the wildfire mitigation categories listed in Section 5.3.
- C. List and describe all challenges associated with limited resources and how these challenges are expected to evolve over the next 3 years.
- D. Outline how the utility expects new technologies and innovations to impact the utility's strategy and implementation approach over the next 3 years, including the utility's program for integrating new technologies into the utility's grid.

5.2 Wildfire Mitigation Plan Implementation

Describe the processes and procedures the electrical corporation will use to do all the following:

- A. Monitor and audit the implementation of the plan. Include what is being audited, who conducts the audits, what type of data is being collected, and how the data undergoes quality assurance and quality control.
- B. Identify any deficiencies in the plan or the plan's implementation and correct those deficiencies.
- C. Monitor and audit the effectiveness of inspections, including inspections performed by contractors, carried out under the plan and other applicable statutes and commission rules.
- D. For all data that is used to drive wildfire-related decisions, including grid operations, capital allocation, community engagement, and other areas, provide a thorough description of the utility's data architecture and flows. List and describe 1) all dashboards and reports directly or indirectly related to ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequences and reduction, and 2) all available GIS data and products. For each, include metadata and a data dictionary that defines all information about the data. For each, also describe how the utility collects data, including a list of all wildfire-related data elements, where it is stored, how it is accessed, and by whom. Explain processes for QA/QC, cleaning and analyzing, normalizing, and utilizing data to drive internal decisions. Include list of internal data standards and cross-reference for they datasets or map products to which the standards apply.

5.3.1 Risk assessment and mapping

Description of programs to reduce ignition probability and wildfire consequence

For each of the below initiatives, provide a detailed description and approximate timeline of each, whether already implemented or planned, to minimize the risk of its equipment or facilities causing wildfires. Include a description for the utility's programs, the utility's rationale behind each of the elements of this program, the utility's prioritization approach/methodology to determine spending and deployment of human and other resources, how the utility will conduct audits or other quality checks on each program, how the utility plans to demonstrate over time whether each component is effective and, if not, how the utility plans to evolve each component to ensure effective spend of ratepayer funds.

Include descriptions across each of the following initiatives. Input the following initiative names into a spreadsheet formatted according to the template below and input information for each cell in the row.

1. A summarized risk map showing the overall ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence along electric lines and equipment
2. Climate-driven risk map and modelling based on various relevant weather scenarios
3. Ignition probability mapping showing the probability of ignition along the electric lines and equipment
4. Initiative mapping and estimation of wildfire and PSPS risk-reduction impact
5. Match drop simulations showing the potential wildfire consequence of ignitions that occur along the electric lines and equipment
6. Weather-driven risk map and modelling based on various relevant weather scenarios
7. Other / not listed [only if an initiative cannot feasibly be classified within those listed above]

For each of the above initiatives, describe the utility's current program and provide an explanation of how the utility expects to evolve the utility's program over each of the following time periods:

1. Before the upcoming wildfire season
2. Before the next annual update,
3. Within the next 3 years, and
4. Within the next 10 years.

5.3.2 Situational awareness and forecasting

Description of programs to reduce ignition probability and wildfire consequence

For each of the below initiatives, provide a detailed description and approximate timeline of each, whether already implemented or planned, to minimize the risk of its equipment or facilities causing wildfires. Include a description of the utility's initiatives, the utility's rationale behind each of the elements of the initiatives, the utility's prioritization approach/methodology to determine spending and deployment of human and other resources, how the utility will conduct audits or other quality checks on each initiative, how the utility plans to demonstrate over time whether each component of the initiatives is effective and, if not, how the utility plans to evolve each component to ensure effective spend of ratepayer funds.

Include descriptions across each of the following initiatives. Input the following initiative names into a spreadsheet formatted according to the template below and input information for each cell in the row.

1. Advanced weather monitoring and weather stations
2. Continuous monitoring sensors
3. Fault indicators for detecting faults on electric lines and equipment
4. Forecast of a fire risk index, fire potential index, or similar
5. Personnel monitoring areas of electric lines and equipment in elevated fire risk conditions
6. Weather forecasting and estimating impacts on electric lines and equipment
7. Other / not listed [only if an initiative cannot feasibly be classified within those listed above]

For each of the above initiatives, describe the utility's current program and provide an explanation of how the utility expects to evolve the utility's program over each of the following time periods:

1. Before the upcoming wildfire season,
2. Before the next annual update,
3. Within the next 3 years, and
4. Within the next 10 years.

5.3.3 Grid design and system hardening

Describe utility approach to the following categories of maintenance of transmission lines, distribution lines, and equipment, respectively:

1. Routine maintenance programs and protocols (i.e., covering general maintenance approach and programmatic structure),
2. Non-routine maintenance, further delineated into:
 - a. Emergency response maintenance/repair, and
 - b. Inspection response maintenance/repair.

Discuss proactive replacement programs versus run-to-failure models for each group, including:

1. Whether there are specific line elements or equipment that are prioritized for preventive maintenance or replacement,
2. How those programs are established
3. What data or information is utilized to make those determinations, and
4. What level of subjectivity is implemented in making those determinations

Description of programs to reduce ignition probability and wildfire consequence

For each of the below initiatives, provide a detailed description and approximate timeline of each, whether already implemented or planned, to minimize the risk of its equipment or facilities causing wildfires. Include a description of the utility's initiatives, the utility's rationale behind each of the elements of the initiatives, the utility's prioritization approach/methodology to determine spending and deployment of human and other resources, how the utility will conduct audits or other quality checks on each initiative, how the utility plans to demonstrate over time whether each component of the initiatives is effective and, if not, how the utility plans to evolve each component to ensure effective spend of ratepayer funds.

Include descriptions across each of the following initiatives. Input the following initiative names into a spreadsheet formatted according to the template below and input information for each cell in the row.

1. Capacitor maintenance and replacement program
2. Circuit breaker maintenance and installation to de-energize lines upon detecting a fault
3. Covered conductor installation
4. Covered conductor maintenance
5. Crossarm maintenance, repair, and replacement
6. Distribution pole replacement and reinforcement, including with composite poles
7. Expulsion fuse replacement
8. Grid topology improvements to mitigate or reduce PSPS events
9. Installation of system automation equipment
10. Maintenance, repair, and replacement of connectors, including hotline clamps
11. Mitigation of impact on customers and other residents affected during PSPS event
12. Other corrective action
13. Pole loading infrastructure hardening and replacement program based on pole loading assessment program
14. Transformers maintenance and replacement
15. Transmission tower maintenance and replacement
16. Undergrounding of electric lines and/or equipment
17. Updates to grid topology to minimize risk of ignition in HFTDs
18. Other / not listed [only if an initiative cannot feasibly be classified within those listed above]

For each of the above initiatives, describe the utility's current program and provide an explanation of how the utility expects to evolve the utility's program over each of the following time periods:

1. Before the upcoming wildfire season,
2. Before the next annual update,
3. Within the next 3 years, and
4. Within the next 10 years.

22. Other / not listed	2020 plan				N/A	N/A	Wildfire-Public Safety	1,022,629.33	0.6	Wildfire-Significant Loss of Property	New	N/A - this is a new initiative	WMP Memorandum Account	N/A - this initiative is not associated with a specific regulation	N/A - this initiative is not associated with a specific rule	Hardening of overhead facilities along evacuation routes to prevent facilities from falling into evacuation routes during a wildfire. Estimated \$1,750,000 CAPEX in each year 2021-2025. Pilot program cost \$200,000.
	2020 actual	\$	\$	\$												
	2021	\$ 1,710,000.00	\$ 1,710,000.00	\$												
	2022	\$ 1,710,000.00	\$ 1,710,000.00	\$												
	2020-2022 plan total	\$ 3,420,000.00	\$ 3,420,000.00	\$												
23. Other / not listed	2020 plan				N/A	N/A	Loss of Energy Supplies	2,602,297.79	2.81	N/A - this initiative does not address any other risk drivers	New	D. 19-08-027	GNC	N/A - this initiative is not associated with a specific regulation	N/A - this initiative is not associated with a specific rule	BVPV Reliability Upgrades. Upgrades power plant electronic controls, emissions monitoring systems, generator reliability, and engine performance.
	2020 actual	\$ 925,484.50	\$ 925,484.50	\$												
	2021	\$ 925,484.50	\$ 925,484.50	\$												
	2022	\$	\$	\$												
	2020-2022 plan total	\$ 1,850,969.00	\$ 1,850,969.00	\$												
24. Other / not listed	2020 plan				N/A	N/A	Contact from object. All types of equipment/facility failure. Wire-to-wire contact/contamination.	1,143,068.47	0.48	Wildfire-Significant Loss of Property	New	N/A - this is a new initiative	Cost Recovery TBD	N/A - this initiative is not associated with a specific regulation	N/A - this initiative is not associated with a specific rule	Alternative Technologies Down Wire Detection Relay Installation Program, Spark-Event Fault Current Limiter, Install On-line Diagnostic Technology Insertion, etc.). Estimated \$7,113,600 CAPEX over 3 year execution period 2023-2025.
	2020 actual	\$	\$	\$												
	2021	\$	\$	\$												
	2022	\$	\$	\$												
	2020-2022 plan total	\$	\$	\$												

5.3.4 Asset management and inspections

Explain the rationale for any utility ignition probability-specific inspections (e.g., "enhanced inspections") within the HFTD as deemed necessary over and above the standard inspections. This shall include information about how (i.e., criteria, protocols, etc.) the electrical corporation determines additional inspections are necessary.

Describe the utility's maintenance protocols relating to maintenance of any electric lines or equipment that could, directly or indirectly, relate to wildfire ignition. Include in the description the threshold by which the utility makes decisions of whether to (1) repair, or (2) replace electric lines and equipment. Describe all electric lines and equipment that the utility "runs-to-failure", those that the utility maintains on a risk-based maintenance plan, and those that are managed by other approaches; describe each approach. Explain the maintenance program that the utility follows and rationale for all lines and equipment.

Description of programs to reduce ignition probability and wildfire consequence

For each of the below initiatives, provide a detailed description and approximate timeline of each, whether already implemented or planned, to minimize the risk of its equipment or facilities causing wildfires. Include a description for the utility's programs, the utility's rationale behind each of the elements of this program, the utility's prioritization approach/methodology to determine spending and deployment of human and other resources, how the utility will conduct audits or other quality checks on each program, how the utility plans to demonstrate over time whether each component is effective and, if not, how the utility plans to evolve each component to ensure effective spend of ratepayer funds.

Include descriptions across each of the following initiatives. Input the following initiative names into a spreadsheet formatted according to the template below and input information for each cell in the row.

1. Detailed inspections of distribution electric lines and equipment
2. Detailed inspections of transmission electric lines and equipment
3. Improvement of inspections
4. Infrared inspections of distribution electric lines and equipment
5. Infrared inspections of transmission electric lines and equipment
6. Intrusive pole inspections
7. LIDAR inspections of distribution electric lines and equipment
8. LIDAR inspections of transmission electric lines and equipment
9. Other discretionary inspection of distribution electric lines and equipment, beyond inspections mandated by rules and regulations
10. Other discretionary inspection of transmission electric lines and equipment, beyond inspections mandated by rules and regulations
11. Patrol inspections of distribution electric lines and equipment
12. Patrol inspections of transmission electric lines and equipment
13. Pole loading assessment program to determine safety factor
14. Quality assurance / quality control of inspections
15. Substation inspections
16. Other / not listed [only if an initiative cannot feasibly be classified within those listed above]

For each of the above initiatives, describe the utility's current program and provide an explanation of how the utility expects to evolve the utility's program over each of the following time periods:

1. Before the upcoming wildfire season,
2. Before the next annual update,
3. Within the next 3 years, and
4. Within the next 10 years.

5.3.5 Vegetation management and inspections

Explain the rationale for any utility ignition probability-specific inspections (e.g., "enhanced inspections") within the HFTD as deemed necessary over and above the standard inspections. This shall include information about how (i.e., criteria, protocols, etc.) the electrical corporation determines additional inspections are necessary.

Describe the utility's vegetation treatment protocols relating to treatment of any vegetation that could pose a grow-in or fall-in risk to utility equipment. Include in the description the threshold by which the utility makes decisions of whether to (1) treat, or (2) remove vegetation.

Discuss the overall objectives, strategies, and tactics of the electrical corporation for vegetation management. In the discussion,

1. Address how the electrical corporation has collaborated with local land managers to leverage opportunities for fuel treatment activities and fire break creation, and compliance with other local, state, and federal forestry and timber regulations.
2. Discuss how the electrical corporation identifies and determines which vegetation is at risk of ignition from utility electric lines and equipment.
3. Describe how (i.e., criteria, data, protocols, studies, etc.) the utility made the determination to trim any vegetation beyond required clearances in GO 95.
4. Describe utility plan to mitigate identified trees with strike potential, including information about how (i.e., criteria, protocols, data, statutes, etc.) the electrical corporation identifies and defines "hazard trees" and "trees with strike potential" based on height and feasible path to strike powerlines or equipment. Describe utility plan to identify reliability/at-risk tree species to trim or remove, where feasible, per location-specific criteria.
5. Include a discussion of how the utility's overall vegetation management initiatives address risks that may arise from trimming or removing trees, including but not limited to erosion, wind, flooding, etc.

Description of programs to reduce ignition probability and wildfire consequence

For each of the below initiatives, provide a detailed description and approximate timeline of each, whether already implemented or planned, to minimize the risk of its equipment or facilities causing wildfires. Include a description of the utility's initiatives, the utility's rationale behind each of the elements of the initiatives, the utility's prioritization approach/methodology to determine spending and deployment of human and other resources, how the utility will conduct audits or other quality checks on each initiative, how the utility plans to demonstrate over time whether each component of the initiatives is effective and, if not, how the utility plans to evolve each component to ensure effective spend of ratepayer funds.

Include descriptions across each of the following initiatives. Input the following initiative names into a spreadsheet formatted according to the template below and input information for each cell in the row.

1. Additional efforts to manage community and environmental impacts
2. Detailed inspections of vegetation around distribution electric lines and equipment
3. Detailed inspections of vegetation around transmission electric lines and equipment
4. Emergency response vegetation management due to red flag warning or other urgent conditions
5. Fuel management and reduction of "slash" from vegetation management activities
6. Improvement of inspections
7. LIDAR inspections of vegetation around distribution electric lines and equipment
8. LIDAR inspections of vegetation around transmission electric lines and equipment
9. Other discretionary inspection of vegetation around distribution electric lines and equipment, beyond inspections mandated by rules and regulations
10. Other discretionary inspection of vegetation around transmission electric lines and equipment, beyond inspections mandated by rules and regulations
11. Patrol inspections of vegetation around distribution electric lines and equipment
12. Patrol inspections of vegetation around transmission electric lines and equipment
13. Quality assurance / quality control of inspections
14. Recruiting and training of vegetation management personnel
15. Remediation of at-risk species
16. Removal and remediation of trees with strike potential to electric lines and equipment
17. Substation inspections
18. Substation vegetation management
19. Vegetation inventory system
20. Vegetation management to achieve clearances around electric lines and equipment
21. Other / not listed [only if an initiative cannot feasibly be classified within those listed above]

For each of the above initiatives, describe the utility's current program and provide an explanation of how the utility expects to evolve the utility's program over each of the following time periods:

1. Before the upcoming wildfire season,
2. Before the next annual update,
3. Within the next 3 years, and
4. Within the next 10 years.

Table 25: Vegetation management and inspections
 Reference: BSES Data received from 03/28/2018 to 1/4/19

Initiative activity	Year	Total per initiative spent	Subtotal A: Capital expenditures	Subtotal B: Operating expenses	Line items to be tracked	Spaced/treated line mile	Ignition probability drivers mitigated	Risk reduction	Risk spend efficiency	Other risk drivers addressed	Existing/new	Existing: what preexisting fuel mitigation program	if new: Memorandum account	if not assessed: compliance with regulations	Site associated rule	Comments
1. Additional efforts to manage community and environmental impacts	2019 plan 2019 actual 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2050 2051 2052 2053 2054 2055 2056 2057 2058 2059 2060 2061 2062 2063 2064 2065 2066 2067 2068 2069 2070 2071 2072 2073 2074 2075 2076 2077 2078 2079 2080 2081 2082 2083 2084 2085 2086 2087 2088 2089 2090 2091 2092 2093 2094 2095 2096 2097 2098 2099 2100 2101 2102 2103 2104 2105 2106 2107 2108 2109 2110 2111 2112 2113 2114 2115 2116 2117 2118 2119 2120 2121 2122 2123 2124 2125 2126 2127 2128 2129 2130 2131 2132 2133 2134 2135 2136 2137 2138 2139 2140 2141 2142 2143 2144 2145 2146 2147 2148 2149 2150 2151 2152 2153 2154 2155 2156 2157 2158 2159 2160 2161 2162 2163 2164 2165 2166 2167 2168 2169 2170 2171 2172 2173 2174 2175 2176 2177 2178 2179 2180 2181 2182 2183 2184 2185 2186 2187 2188 2189 2190 2191 2192 2193 2194 2195 2196 2197 2198 2199 2200 2201 2202 2203 2204 2205 2206 2207 2208 2209 2210 2211 2212 2213 2214 2215 2216 2217 2218 2219 2220 2221 2222 2223 2224 2225 2226 2227 2228 2229 2230 2231 2232 2233 2234 2235 2236 2237 2238 2239 2240 2241 2242 2243 2244 2245 2246 2247 2248 2249 2250 2251 2252 2253 2254 2255 2256 2257 2258 2259 2260 2261 2262 2263 2264 2265 2266 2267 2268 2269 2270 2271 2272 2273 2274 2275 2276 2277 2278 2279 2280 2281 2282 2283 2284 2285 2286 2287 2288 2289 2290 2291 2292 2293 2294 2295 2296 2297 2298 2299 2300 2301 2302 2303 2304 2305 2306 2307 2308 2309 2310 2311 2312 2313 2314 2315 2316 2317 2318 2319 2320 2321 2322 2323 2324 2325 2326 2327 2328 2329 2330 2331 2332 2333 2334 2335 2336 2337 2338 2339 2340 2341 2342 2343 2344 2345 2346 2347 2348 2349 2350 2351 2352 2353 2354 2355 2356 2357 2358 2359 2360 2361 2362 2363 2364 2365 2366 2367 2368 2369 2370 2371 2372 2373 2374 2375 2376 2377 2378 2379 2380 2381 2382 2383 2384 2385 2386 2387 2388 2389 2390 2391 2392 2393 2394 2395 2396 2397 2398 2399 2400 2401 2402 2403 2404 2405 2406 2407 2408 2409 2410 2411 2412 2413 2414 2415 2416 2417 2418 2419 2420 2421 2422 2423 2424 2425 2426 2427 2428 2429 2430 2431 2432 2433 2434 2435 2436 2437 2438 2439 2440 2441 2442 2443 2444 2445 2446 2447 2448 2449 2450 2451 2452 2453 2454 2455 2456 2457 2458 2459 2460 2461 2462 2463 2464 2465 2466 2467 2468 2469 2470 2471 2472 2473 2474 2475 2476 2477 2478 2479 2480 2481 2482 2483 2484 2485 2486 2487 2488 2489 2490 2491 2492 2493 2494 2495 2496 2497 2498 2499 2500 2501 2502 2503 2504 2505 2506 2507 2508 2509 2510 2511 2512 2513 2514 2515 2516 2517 2518 2519 2520 2521 2522 2523 2524 2525 2526 2527 2528 2529 2530 2531 2532 2533 2534 2535 2536 2537 2538 2539 2540 2541 2542 2543 2544 2545 2546 2547 2548 2549 2550 2551 2552 2553 2554 2555 2556 2557 2558 2559 2560 2561 2562 2563 2564 2565 2566 2567 2568 2569 2570 2571 2572 2573 2574 2575 2576 2577 2578 2579 2580 2581 2582 2583 2584 2585 2586 2587 2588 2589 2590 2591 2592 2593 2594 2595 2596 2597 2598 2599 2600 2601 2602 2603 2604 2605 2606 2607 2608 2609 2610 2611 2612 2613 2614 2615 2616 2617 2618 2619 2620 2621 2622 2623 2624 2625 2626 2627 2628 2629 2630 2631 2632 2633 2634 2635 2636 2637 2638 2639 2640 2641 2642 2643 2644 2645 2646 2647 2648 2649 2650 2651 2652 2653 2654 2655 2656 2657 2658 2659 2660 2661 2662 2663 2664 2665 2666 2667 2668 2669 2670 2671 2672 2673 2674 2675 2676 2677 2678 2679 2680 2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687 2688 2689 2690 2691 2692 2693 2694 2695 2696 2697 2698 2699 2700 2701 2702 2703 2704 2705 2706 2707 2708 2709 2710 2711 2712 2713 2714 2715 2716 2717 2718 2719 2720 2721 2722 2723 2724 2725 2726 2727 2728 2729 2730 2731 2732 2733 2734 2735 2736 2737 2738 2739 2740 2741 2742 2743 2744 2745 2746 2747 2748 2749 2750 2751 2752 2753 2754 2755 2756 2757 2758 2759 2760 2761 2762 2763 2764 2765 2766 2767 2768 2769 2770 2771 2772 2773 2774 2775 2776 2777 2778 2779 2780 2781 2782 2783 2784 2785 2786 2787 2788 2789 2790 2791 2792 2793 2794 2795 2796 2797 2798 2799 2800 2801 2802 2803 2804 2805 2806 2807 2808 2809 2810 2811 2812 2813 2814 2815 2816 2817 2818 2819 2820 2821 2822 2823 2824 2825 2826 2827 2828 2829 2830 2831 2832 2833 2834 2835 2836 2837 2838 2839 2840 2841 2842 2843 2844 2845 2846 2847 2848 2849 2850 2851 2852 2853 2854 2855 2856 2857 2858 2859 2860 2861 2862 2863 2864 2865 2866 2867 2868 2869 2870 2871 2872 2873 2874 2875 2876 2877 2878 2879 2880 2881 2882 2883 2884 2885 2886 2887 2888 2889 2890 2891 2892 2893 2894 2895 2896 2897 2898 2899 2900 2901 2902 2903 2904 2905 2906 2907 2908 2909 2910 2911 2912 2913 2914 2915 2916 2917 2918 2919 2920 2921 2922 2923 2924 2925 2926 2927 2928 2929 2930 2931 2932 2933 2934 2935 2936 2937 2938 2939 2940 2941 2942 2943 2944 2945 2946 2947 2948 2949 2950 2951 2952 2953 2954 2955 2956 2957 2958 2959 2960 2961 2962 2963 2964 2965 2966 2967 2968 2969 2970 2971 2972 2973 2974 2975 2976 2977 2978 2979 2980 2981 2982 2983 2984 2985 2986 2987 2988 2989 2990 2991 2992 2993 2994 2995 2996 2997 2998 2999 3000 3001 3002 3003 3004 3005 3006 3007 3008 3009 3010 3011 3012 3013 3014 3015 3016 3017 3018 3019 3020 3021 3022 3023 3024 3025 3026 3027 3028 3029 3030 3031 3032 3033 3034 3035 3036 3037 3038 3039 3040 3041 3042 3043 3044 3045 3046 3047 3048 3049 3050 3051 3052 3053 3054 3055 3056 3057 3058 3059 3060 3061 3062 3063 3064 3065 3066 3067 3068 3069 3070 3071 3072 3073 3074 3075 3076 3077 3078 3079 3080 3081 3082 3083 3084 3085 3086 3087 3088 3089 3090 3091 3092 3093 3094 3095 3096 3097 3098 3099 3100 3101 3102 3103 3104 3105 3106 3107 3108 3109 3110 3111 3112 3113 3114 3115 3116 3117 3118 3119 3120 3121 3122 3123 3124 3125 3126 3127 3128 3129 3130 3131 3132 3133 3134 3135 3136 3137 3138 3139 3140 3141 3142 3143 3144 3145 3146 3147 3148 3149 3150 3151 3152 3153 3154 3155 3156 3157 3158 3159 3160 3161 3162 3163 3164 3165 3166 3167 3168 3169 3170 3171 3172 3173 3174 3175 3176 3177 3178 3179 3180 3181 3182 3183 3184 3185 3186 3187 3188 3189 3190 3191 3192 3193 3194 3195 3196 3197 3198 3199 3200 3201 3202 3203 3204 3205 3206 3207 3208 3209 3210 3211 3212 3213 3214 3215 3216 3217 3218 3219 3220 3221 3222 3223 3224 3225 3226 3227 3228 3229 3230 3231 3232 3233 3234 3235 3236 3237 3238 3239 3240 3241 3242 3243 3244 3245 3246 3247 3248 3249 3250 3251 3252 3253 3254 3255 3256 3257 3258 3259 3260 3261 3262 3263 3264 3265 3266 3267 3268 3269 3270 3271 3272 3273 3274 3275 3276 3277 3278 3279 3280 3281 3282 3283 3284 3285 3286 3287 3288 3289 3290 3291 3292 3293 3294 3295 3296 3297 3298 3299 3300 3301 3302 3303 3304 3305 3306 3307 3308 3309 3310 3311 3312 3313 3314 3315 3316 3317 3318 3319 3320 3321 3322 3323 3324 3325 3326 3327 3328 3329 3330 3331 3332 3333 3334 3335 3336 3337 3338 3339 3340 3341 3342 3343 3344 3345 3346 3347 3348 3349 3350 3351 3352 3353 3354 3355 3356 3357 3358 3359 3360 3361 3362 3363 3364 3365 3366 3367 3368 3369 3370 3371 3372 3373 3374 3375 3376 3377 3378 3379 3380 3381 3382 3383 3384 3385 3386 3387 3388 3389 3390 3391 3392 3393 3394 3395 3396 3397 3398 3399 3400 3401 3402 3403 3404 3405 3406 3407 3408 3409 3410 3411 3412 3413 3414 3415 3416 3417 3418 3419 3420 3421 3422 3423 3424 3425 3426 3427 3428 3429 3430 3431 3432 3433 3434 3435 3436 3437 3438 3439 3440 3441 3442 3443 3444 3445 3446 3447 3448 3449 3450 3451 3452 3453 3454 3455 3456 3457 3458 3459 3460 3461 3462 3463 3464 3465 3466 3467 3468 3469 3470 3471 3472 3473 3474 3475 3476 3477 3478 3479 3480 3481 3482 3483 3484 3485 3486 3487 3488 3489 3490 3491 3492 3493 3494 3495 3496 3497 3498 3499 3500 3501 3502 3503 3504 3505 3506 3507 3508 3509 3510 3511 3512 3513 3514 3515 3516 3517 3518 3519 3520 3521 3522 3523 3524 3525 3526 3527 3528 3529 3530 3531 3532 3533 3534 3535 3536 3537 3538 3539 3540 3541 3542 3543 3544 3545 3546 3547 3548 3549 3550 3551 3552 3553 3554 3555 3556 3557 3558 3559 3560 3561 3562 3563 3564 3565 3566 3567 3568 3569 3570 3571 3572 3573 3574 3575 3576 3577 3578 3579 3580 3581 3582 3583 3584 3585 3586 3587 3588 3589 3590 3591 3592 3593 3594 3595 3596 3597 3598 3599 3600 3601 3602 3603 3604 3605 3606 3607 3608 3609 3610 3611 3612 3613 3614 3615 3616 3617 3618 3619 3620 3621 3622 3623 3624 3625 3626 3627 3628 3629 3630 3631 3632 3633 3634 3635 3636 3637 3638 3639 3640 3641 3642 3643 3644 3645 3646 3647 3648 3649 3650 3651 3652 3653 3654 3655 3656 3657 3658 3659 3660 3661 3662 3663 3664 3665 3666 3667 3668 3669 3670 3671 3672 3673 3674 3675 3676 3677 3678 3679 3680 3681 3682 3683 3684 3685 3686 3687 3688 3689 3690 3691 3692 3693 3694 3695 3696 3697 3698 3699 3700 3701 3702 3703 3704 3705 3706 3707 3708 3709 3710 3711 3712 3713 3714 3715 3716 3717 3718 3719 3720 3721 3722 3723 3724 3725 3726 3727 3728 3729 3730 3731 3732 3733 3734 3735 3736 3737 3738 3739 3740 3741 3742 3743 3744 3745 3746 3747 3748 3749 3750 3751 3752 3753 3754 3755 3756 3757 3758 3759 3760 3761 3762 3763 3764 3765 3766 3767 3768 3769 3770 3771 3772 3773 3774 3775 3776 3777 3778 3779 3780 3781 3782 3783 3784 3785 3786 3787 3788 3789 3790 3791 3792 3793 3794 3795 3796 3797 3798 3799 3800 3801 3802 3803 3804 3805 3806 3807 3808 3809 3810 3811 3812 3813 3814 3815 3816 3817 3818 3819 3820 3821 3822 3823 3824 3825 3826 3827 3828 3829 3830 3831 3832 3833 3834 3835 3836 3837 3838 3839 3840 3841 3842 3843 3844 3845 3846 3847 3848 3849 3850 3851 3852 3853 3854 3855 3856 3857 3858 3859 3860 3861 3862 3863 3864 3865 3866 3867 3868 3869 3870 3871 3872 3873 3874 3875 3876 3877 3878 3879 3880 3881 3882 3883 3884 3885 3886 3887 3888 3889 3890 3891 3892 3893 3894 3895 3896 3897 3898 3899 3900 3901 3902 3903 3904 3905 3906 3907 3908 3909 3910 3911 3912 3913 3914 3915 3916 3917 3918 3919 3920 3921 3922 3923 3924 3925 3926 3927 3928 3929 3930 3931 3932 3933 3934 3935 3936 3937 3938 3939 3940 3941 3942 3943 3944 3945 3946 3947 3948 3949 3950 3951 3952 3953 3954 3955 3956 3957 3958 3959 3960 3961 3962 3963 3964 3965 3966 3967 3968 3969 3970 3971 3972 3973 3974 3975 3976 3977 3978 3979 3980 3981 3982 3983 3984 3985 3986 3987 3988 3989 3990 3991 3992 3993 3994 3995 3996 3997 3998 3999 4000	<p>Bea Valley Electric Service does not have a specific wildfire mitigation vegetation management and inspection initiative dedicated to this effort at this time. The utility recognizes that additional efforts to manage community and environmental impacts are critical to reducing wildfire risk and conducts such efforts on an as-needed basis, as well as incorporating additional efforts within other programs such as those in Table 23 and Table 30.</p> <p>Bea Valley Electric Service does not have any transmission lines or equipment as all the utility's lines are below 65KV.</p> <p>Bea Valley Electric Service does not have a specific wildfire mitigation vegetation management and inspection initiative dedicated to this effort at this time. The utility recognizes that emergency response vegetation management due to red flag warnings or other urgent conditions are critical</p>														

5.3.6 Grid operations and protocols

Description of programs to reduce ignition probability and wildfire consequence

For each of the below initiatives, provide a detailed description and approximate timeline of each, whether already implemented or planned, to minimize the risk of its equipment or facilities causing wildfires. Include a description of the utility's initiatives, the utility's rationale behind each of the elements of the initiatives, the utility's prioritization approach/methodology to determine spending and deployment of human and other resources, how the utility will conduct audits or other quality checks on each initiative, how the utility plans to demonstrate over time whether each component of the initiatives is effective and, if not, how the utility plans to evolve each component to ensure effective spend of ratepayer funds.

Include descriptions across each of the following initiatives. Input the following initiative names into a spreadsheet formatted according to the template below and input information for each cell in the row.

1. Automatic recloser operations
2. Crew-accompanying ignition prevention and suppression resources and services
3. Personnel work procedures and training in conditions of elevated fire risk
4. Protocols for PSPS re-energization
5. PPS events and mitigation of PPS impacts
6. Stationed and on-call ignition prevention and suppression resources and services
7. Other / not listed [only if an initiative cannot feasibly be classified within those listed above]

For each of the above initiatives, describe the utility's current program and provide an explanation of how the utility expects to evolve the utility's program over each of the following time periods:

1. Before the upcoming wildfire season,
2. Before the next annual update,
3. Within the next 3 years, and
4. Within the next 10 years.

Table 26: Grid operations and protocols

Initiative activity	Year	Total per-initiative spend	Subtotal A: Capital expenditure	Subtotal B: Operating expenses	Line miles to be treated	Spend/ treated line mile	Ignition probability drivers targeted	Risk reduction	Risk spend efficiency	Other risk drivers addressed	Existing/ new	Existing: What proceeding has reviewed program	If new: Memorandum account	In / exceeding compliance with regulations	Che associated rule	Comments
1. Automatic recloser operations	2019 plan	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -												
	2019 actual	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -			N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative									
	2020	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ -			N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative									
	2021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2020-2022 plan total	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ -				1,115,048.65	3.72	Wildfire-Significant Loss of Property	Existing	D. 19-08-027	GRC	N/A - this initiative is a non-standard operating practice unassociated with any specific regulation	N/A - this initiative is a non-standard operating practice unassociated with any specific regulation	Automatic Recloser Upgrades. Recloser replacement to reduce electrical sparking, while also helping mitigate power outages and equipment damage. Estimated 33% completion in 2019.
2. Crew accompanying ignition prevention and suppression resources and services	2019 plan	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2019 actual	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2020-2022 plan total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
3. Personnel work procedures and training conditions of elevated fire risk	2019 plan	\$ 65,740.52	\$ -	\$ 65,740.52												
	2019 actual	\$ 65,740.52	\$ -	\$ 65,740.52			N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative									
	2020	\$ 65,740.52	\$ -	\$ 65,740.52			N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative									
	2021	\$ 65,740.52	\$ -	\$ 65,740.52												
	2020-2022 plan total	\$ 197,221.56	\$ -	\$ 197,221.56				146,466.53	2.23	Wildfire-Significant Loss of Property	Existing	D. 19-08-027	GRC	N/A - this initiative is a non-standard operating practice unassociated with any specific regulation	N/A - this initiative is a non-standard operating practice unassociated with any specific regulation	Wildfire Infrastructure Protection Teams. Roles and responsibilities for staff to respond to protect system infrastructure in case of emergencies.
4. Protocols for PPS re-energization	2019 plan	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2019 actual	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2020-2022 plan total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
5. PPS events and mitigation of PPS impact	2019 plan	\$ 42,000.00	\$ -	\$ 42,000.00												
	2019 actual	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative									
	2020	\$ 42,000.00	\$ -	\$ 42,000.00			N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative									
	2021	\$ 42,000.00	\$ -	\$ 42,000.00												
	2020-2022 plan total	\$ 126,000.00	\$ -	\$ 126,000.00				777,385.29	1851	Wildfire-Significant Loss of Property	Existing/New	D. 19-08-027	GRC and WAMP Memorandum Account	In compliance	R. 18-12-005	PPS Protocols. Protocols and procedures to respond to and recover from de-energization events, which proactively prevent wildfires. Costs partially recovered. RSE is an estimate based on latest risk assessment.
6. Stationed and on call ignition prevention and suppression resources and services	2019 plan	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2019 actual	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2020-2022 plan total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
7. Other / not listed	2019 plan	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2019 actual	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -												
	2020-2022 plan total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -				1,022,629.33		Wildfire-Significant Loss of Property	Existing	D. 19-08-027	GRC	N/A - this initiative is a non-standard operating practice unassociated with any specific regulation	N/A - this initiative is not associated with any specific regulation	Operational Considerations/Special Work Procedures. Operational procedures that are conditions-based to optimize the distribution system for wildfire mitigation. Costs recovered in BVES' General Rate Case A.17-05-004.

5.3.7 Data Governance

Description of programs to reduce ignition probability and wildfire consequence

For each of the below initiatives, provide a detailed description and approximate timeline of each, whether already implemented or planned, to minimize the risk of its equipment or facilities causing wildfires. Include a description of the utility's initiatives, the utility's rationale behind each of the elements of the initiatives, the utility's prioritization approach/methodology to determine spending and deployment of human and other resources, how the utility will conduct audits or other quality checks on each initiative, how the utility plans to demonstrate over time whether each component of the initiatives is effective and, if not, how the utility plans to evolve each component to ensure effective spend of ratepayer funds.

Include descriptions across each of the following initiatives. Input the following initiative names into a spreadsheet formatted according to the template below and input information for each cell in the row.

1. Centralized repository for data
2. Collaborative research on utility ignition and/or wildfire
3. Documentation and disclosure of wildfire-related data and algorithms
4. Tracking and analysis of near miss data
5. Other / not listed [only if an initiative cannot feasibly be classified within those listed above]

The list provided is non-exhaustive and utilities shall add additional initiatives to this table as their individual programs are designed and structured. Do not create a new initiative if the utility's initiatives can be classified under a provided initiative. For each of the above initiatives, describe the utility's current program and provide an explanation of how the utility expects to evolve the utility's program over each of the following time periods:

1. Before the upcoming wildfire season,
2. Before the next annual update,
3. Within the next 3 years, and
4. Within the next 10 years.

Table 27: Data governance
 Response to WSD data request item BVES-43879-K-141 33 \$ 420.00

Initiative activity	Year	Total per-initiative spend	Subtotal A: Capital expenditure	Subtotal B: Operating expenses	Line miles to be treated	Spend/ treated line mile	Ignition probability drivers targeted	Risk reduction	Risk-spend efficiency	Other risk drivers addressed	Existing/new	Existing: What proceeding has reviewed program	If new: Memorandum account	In / exceeding compliance with regulation	Cite associated rule	Comments
1. Centralized repository for data	2019 plan	\$ 46,182.79	\$ -	\$ 46,182.79	N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative	N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative	All	148,458.96	3.20	Wildfire-Significant Loss of Property.	Existing	D.19-08-027	GRC	N/A - this initiative is unassociated with any specific regulation	N/A - this initiative is unassociated with any specific regulation	GIS Data Collection & Sharing. Maintain and share Geographic Information System (GIS) database on system infrastructure for asset management and planning with key stakeholders.
	2019 actual	\$ 46,182.79	\$ -	\$ 46,182.79												
	2020	\$ 46,182.79	\$ -	\$ 46,182.79												
	2021	\$ 46,182.79	\$ -	\$ 46,182.79												
	2022	\$ 46,182.79	\$ -	\$ 46,182.79												
2020-2022 plan total	\$ 139,146.87	\$ -	\$ 139,146.87													
2. Collaborative research on utility ignition and/or wildfire	2019 plan				Bear Valley Electric Service does not have a specific wildfire mitigation data governance plan focused on collaborative research on utility ignition and/or wildfire at this time. The company generally collaborates with Mutual Aid Partners and first responders to develop protocols, procedures, and communication plans to prevent, manage, and respond to utility ignition and/or wildfire.											
	2019 actual															
	2020															
	2021															
	2020-2022 plan total															
3. Documentation and disclosure of wildfire-related data and algorithms	2019 plan				Bear Valley Electric Service does not have a specific data governance wildfire mitigation program focused on documentation and disclosure of wildfire-related data and algorithms that maps to the tracking and level of detail requested in this table at this time.											
	2019 actual															
	2020															
	2021															
	2020-2022 plan total															
4. Tracking and analysis of near miss data	2019 plan				Bear Valley Electric Service does not have a specific wildfire mitigation data governance initiative focused on tracking and analysis of near-miss data that maps to the tracking and level of detail requested in this table at this time.											
	2019 actual															
	2020															
	2021															
	2020-2022 plan total															
5. Other / not listed	2019 plan				Bear Valley Electric Service does not have any other wildfire mitigation data governance initiatives at this time.											
	2019 actual															
	2020															
	2021															
	2020-2022 plan total															

5.3.8 Resource allocation methodology

Description of programs to reduce ignition probability and wildfire consequence

For each of the below initiatives, provide a detailed description and approximate timeline of each, whether already implemented or planned, to minimize the risk of its equipment or facilities causing wildfires. Include a description of the utility's initiatives, the utility's rationale behind each of the elements of the initiatives, the utility's prioritization approach/methodology to determine spending and deployment of human and other resources, how the utility will conduct audits or other quality checks on each initiative, how the utility plans to demonstrate over time whether each component of the initiatives is effective and, if not, how the utility plans to evolve each component to ensure effective spend of ratepayer funds.

Include descriptions across each of the following resource allocation methodology and sensitivities initiatives, including a description of the data flow into the calculations involved in each. Input the following initiative names into a spreadsheet formatted according to the template below and input information for each cell in the row.

1. Allocation methodology development and application
2. Risk reduction scenario development and analysis
3. Risk spend efficiency analysis
4. Other / not listed (only if an initiative cannot feasibly be classified within those listed above)

For each of the below initiatives, describe the utility's current program and provide an explanation of how the utility expects to evolve the utility's program over each of the following time periods:

1. Before the upcoming wildfire season
2. Before the next annual update
3. Within the next 3 years
4. Within the next 10 years

The list provided is non-exhaustive and utilities shall add additional initiatives to this table as their individual programs are designed and structured. Do not create a new initiative if the utility's initiatives can be classified under a provided initiative. Where the columns listed do not apply or cannot be meaningfully calculated for a given resource allocation methodology and sensitivities initiative, "N/A" may be logged in the corresponding cell.

5.3.9 Emergency planning and preparedness

Description of programs to reduce ignition probability and wildfire consequence

For each of the below initiatives, provide a detailed description and approximate timeline of each, whether already implemented or planned, to minimize the risk of its equipment or facilities causing wildfires. Include a description of the utility's initiatives, the utility's rationale behind each of the elements of the initiatives, the utility's prioritization approach/methodology to determine spending and deployment of human and other resources, how the utility will conduct audits or other (include a general description of the overall emergency preparedness and response plan, and detail:

1. A description of how plan is consistent with disaster and emergency preparedness plan prepared pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 768.6, including:
 - a. Plans to prepare for and restore service, including workforce mobilization (including mutual aid and contractors) and prepositioning equipment and employees
 - b. Emergency communications, including community outreach, public awareness, and communications efforts before, during, and after a wildfire in English, Spanish, and the top three primary languages used in California other than English or Spanish, as determined by United States Census data
 - c. Showing that the utility has an adequate and trained workforce to promptly restore service after a major event, taking into account mutual aid and contractors
2. Customer support in emergencies, including protocols for compliance with requirements adopted by the CPUC regarding activities to support customers during and after a wildfire, including:
 - a. Outage reporting
 - b. Support for low income customers
 - c. Billing adjustments
 - d. Deposit waivers
 - e. Extended payment plans
 - f. Suspension of disconnection and nonpayment fees
 - g. Repair processing and timing
 - h. Access to utility representatives
1. Coordination with Public Safety Partners, such as stationing utility personnel in county Emergency Operations Centers

Describe utility efforts to identify which additional languages are in use within the utility's service territory, including plan to identify and mitigate language access challenges.

Description of programs to reduce ignition probability and wildfire consequence

For each of the below initiatives, provide a detailed description and approximate timeline of each, whether already implemented or planned, to minimize the risk of its equipment or facilities causing wildfires. Include a description of the utility's initiatives, the utility's rationale behind each of the elements of the initiatives, the utility's prioritization approach/methodology to determine spending and deployment of human and other resources, how the utility will conduct audits or other quality checks on each initiative, how the utility plans to demonstrate over time whether each component of the initiatives is effective and, if not, how the utility plans to evolve each component to ensure effective spend of ratepayer funds.

Include descriptions across each of the following initiatives. Input the following initiative names into a spreadsheet formatted according to the template below and input information for each cell in the row.

1. Adequate and trained workforce for service restoration
2. Community outreach, public awareness, and communications efforts
3. Customer support in emergencies
4. Disaster and emergency preparedness plan
5. Preparedness and planning for service restoration
6. Protocols in place to learn from wildfire events
7. Other / not listed [only if an initiative cannot feasibly be classified within those listed above]

The list provided is non-exhaustive and utilities shall add additional initiatives to this table as their individual programs are designed and structured. Do not create a new initiative if the utility's initiatives can be classified under a provided initiative.

Utility checks on each initiative, how the utility plans to demonstrate over time whether each component of the initiatives is effective and, if not, how the utility plans to evolve each component to ensure effective spend of ratepayer funds.

Include descriptions across each of the following resource allocation methodology and sensitivities initiatives, including a description of the data flow into the calculations involved in each. Input the following initiative names into a spreadsheet formatted according to the template below and input information for each cell in the row.

1. Allocation methodology development and application
2. Risk reduction scenario development and analysis
3. Risk spend efficiency analysis
4. Other / not listed [only if an initiative cannot feasibly be classified within those listed above]

For each of the below initiatives, describe the utility's current program and provide an explanation of how the utility expects to evolve the utility's program over each of the following time periods:

1. Before the upcoming wildfire season
2. Before the next annual update
3. Within the next 3 years
4. Within the next 10 years

The list provided is non-exhaustive and utilities shall add additional initiatives to this table as their individual programs are designed and structured. Do not create a new initiative if the utility's initiatives can be classified under a provided initiative. Where the columns listed do not apply or cannot be meaningfully calculated for a given resource allocation methodology and sensitivities initiative, "N/A" may be logged in the corresponding cell.

For each of the above initiatives, describe the utility's current program and provide an explanation of how the utility expects to evolve the utility's program over each of the following time periods:

1. Before the upcoming wildfire season,
2. Before the next annual update,
3. Within the next 3 years, and
4. Within the next 10 years.

Table 29: Emergency planning and preparedness
 Response to WSD data request from BVE-S-43679-E-139

72

588

Initiative activity	Year	Total per-initiative spend	Subtotal A: Capital expenditure	Subtotal B: Operating expenses	Line miles to be treated	Spend/ treated line mile	Ignition probability drivers targeted	Risk reduction	Risk-spend efficiency	Other risk drivers addressed	Existing/ new	Existing: What proceeding has reviewed program	If new: Memorandum account	In / exceeding compliance with regulations	Cite associated rule	Comments
1. Adequate and trained workforce for service restoration	2019 plan	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative	N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative	N/A - response related	N/A - as a System Wide Initiative, this initiative does not have a specified risk reduction	N/A - as a System Wide Initiative, this initiative does not have a specific risk-spend efficiency	Reduces impact to customers and community from an event causing interrupting of service; reduces risk of escalation	Existing	GRC	N/A - this is an Existing initiative	In compliance	GO 166	N/A - no additional comments
	2020 actual															
	2021															
	2022															
	2020-2022 plan total															
2. Community outreach, public awareness, and communications efforts	2019 plan	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative	N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative	Contact from object	N/A - as a System Wide Initiative, this initiative does not have a specified risk reduction	N/A - as a System Wide Initiative, this initiative does not have a specific risk-spend efficiency	Reduces impact to customers and community from an event causing interrupting of service; reduces risk of escalation	Existing	GRC	N/A - this is an Existing initiative	In compliance	GO 167	N/A - no additional comments
	2020 actual															
	2021															
	2022															
	2020-2022 plan total															
3. Customer support in emergencies	2019 plan	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative	N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative	N/A - response related	N/A - as a System Wide Initiative, this initiative does not have a specified risk reduction	N/A - as a System Wide Initiative, this initiative does not have a specific risk-spend efficiency	Reduces impact to customers and community from an event causing interrupting of service; reduces risk of escalation	Existing	GRC	N/A - this is an Existing initiative	In compliance	R. 18-12-005	N/A - no additional comments
	2020 actual															
	2021															
	2022															
	2020-2022 plan total															
4. Disaster and emergency preparedness plan	2019 plan	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative	N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative	Contact from object, all types of equipment/facility failure, wire-to-wire contact/contamination	146,466.53	N/A - this initiative does not have a specific Risk-spend efficiency	Wildfire-Significant Loss of Property	Existing	D. 19-08-027	N/A	In compliance	GO 166	Emergency Reporting & Procedures. Protocols and procedures for staff to respond to faults, emergencies, outages, disaster events (such as earthquake, wildfire, etc.) etc.
	2020 actual															
	2021															
	2022															
	2020-2022 plan total															
5. Preparedness and planning for service restoration	2019 plan	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is not a specifically budgeted program	N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative	N/A - this is a System Wide Initiative	N/A - response related	146,466.53	N/A - this initiative does not have a specific Risk-spend efficiency	Wildfire-Significant Loss of Property	Existing	D. 19-08-027	CEMA if applicable	In compliance	GO 166	Post-Incident Recovery, Restoration & Remediation. Protocols and procedures to respond to and recover from any wildfire or related emergency events.
	2020 actual															
	2021															
	2022															
	2020-2022 plan total															
6. Protocols in place to learn from wildfire events	2019 plan	Bear Valley Electric Service leverages the protocols included in the company's Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan to learn from wildfire events in the same manner the utility learns from any emergency event. Therefore, Bear Valley Electric Service does not have specific protocols in place to learn from wildfire events that are not already covered in a previous program.														
	2020 actual															
	2021															
	2022															
	2020-2022 plan total															
7. Other / not listed	2019 plan	Bear Valley Electric Service does not have emergency planning and preparedness initiatives other than those listed above at this time.														
	2020 actual															
	2021															
	2022															
	2020-2022 plan total															

5.3.10 Stakeholder cooperation and community engagement

Description of programs to reduce ignition probability and wildfire consequence

For each of the below initiatives, provide a detailed description and approximate timeline of each, whether already implemented or planned, to minimize the risk of its equipment or facilities causing wildfires. Include a description of the utility's initiatives, the utility's rationale behind each of the elements of the initiatives, the utility's prioritization approach/methodology to determine spending and deployment of human and other resources, how the utility will conduct audits or other quality checks on each initiative, how the utility plans to demonstrate over time whether each component of the initiatives is effective and, if not, how the utility plans to evolve each component to ensure effective spend of ratepayer funds.

Include descriptions across each of the following initiatives. Input the following initiative names into a spreadsheet formatted according to the template below and input information for each cell in the row.

1. Community engagement
2. Cooperation and best practice sharing with agencies outside CA
3. Cooperation with suppression agencies
4. Forest service and fuel reduction cooperation and joint roadmap
5. Other / not listed [only if an initiative cannot feasibly be classified within those listed above]

The list provided is non-exhaustive and utilities shall add additional initiatives to this table as their individual programs are designed and structured. Do not create a new initiative if the utility's initiatives can be classified under a provided initiative.

For each of the above initiatives, describe the utility's current program and provide an explanation of how the utility expects to evolve the utility's program over each of the following time periods:

1. Before the upcoming wildfire season,
2. Before the next annual update,
3. Within the next 3 years, and
4. Within the next 10 years.

5.4 Methodology for enterprise-wide safety risk and wildfire-related risk assessment

Describe methodology for identifying and evaluating enterprise wide safety risk and wildfire related risk, and how that methodology is consistent with the methodology used by other electric utilities or electrical corporations. If the risk identification and evaluation methodology is different, the utility shall explain why in this section.

5.5 Planning for workforce and other limited resources

Include a showing that the utility has an adequately sized and trained workforce to promptly restore service after a major event, taking into account employees of other utilities pursuant to mutual aid agreements and employees of entities that have entered into contracts with the utility.

5.6.1 Planned utility infrastructure construction and upgrades

Explain how the utility expects the geographic location of transmission and distribution lines to shift over the three-year plan period and discuss its impact on 1) the utility's risk exposure and 2) the utility's wildfire mitigation strategy. Outline portions of grid within HFTD that are highest cost to serve, by highlighting circuits or portions of circuits that exceed \$0.5M per customer in capital cost required to harden. Provide justification for the level of hardening required and why the lowest cost path to harden this equipment exceeds \$0.5M per customer, including by describing the various alternatives that were considered to reduce ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence. For each of these sections of the grid, outline any analysis that was conducted around islanding, serving with microgrids, or providing backup generation, all to reduce the impact of PSPS events and reduce ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence at the lowest possible cost.

Discuss how the utility wildfire mitigation strategy influenced its plan for infrastructure construction (in terms of additions or removal of overhead lines, including undergrounding of overhead lines) as detailed in Section 3.4.2. Discuss how the utility wildfire mitigation strategy influenced its plan for upgrades to overhead lines and substations as detailed in the Section 3.4.2.

BVES does not have plans in the foreseeable future for new circuit construction for either transmission or distribution. The Ute Undergrounding initiative is still under the preliminary planning and discussion phase.

Table 31: Change in drivers of ignition probability taking into account planned initiatives, for each year of plan

Incident type by ignition probability driver	Detailed risk driver	Are near misses tracked?	Number of incidents per year			Average percentage likelihood of ignition per incident			Number of ignitions per year		
			2020	2021	2022	2020	2021	2022	2020	2021	2022
Contact from object	All types of object contact	Y	4	4	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Animal contact	Y	1	1	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Balloon contact	Y	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Vegetation contact	Y	3	3	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Vehicle contact	Y	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
All types of equipment / facility failure	All types	Y	16	14	11	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Capacitor bank failure	Y	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Conductor failure—all	Y	3	3	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Conductor failure—wires down	Y	3	3	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Fuse failure—all	Y	4	3	3	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Fuse failure—conventional blown fuse	Y	4	3	3	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Lightning arrestor failure	Y	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Switch failure	Y	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Transformer failure	Y	2	2	1	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Wire-to-wire contact / contamination	Y	2	1	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0
	Other	Y	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0

5.6.2 Protocols on Public Safety Power Shut-Off

Describe protocols on Public Safety Power Shut-off (PSPS or de-energization), to include:

1. Strategy to minimize public safety risk during high wildfire risk conditions and details of the considerations, including but not limited to list and description of community assistance locations and services provided during a de-energization event.
2. Outline of tactical and strategic decision-making protocol for initiating a PSPS/de-energization (e.g., decision tree).
3. Strategy to provide for safe and effective re-energization of any area that was de-energized due to PSPS protocol.
4. Company standards relative to customer communications, including consideration for the need to notify priority essential services – critical first responders, public safety partners, critical facilities and infrastructure, operators of telecommunications infrastructure, and water utilities/agencies. This section, or an appendix to this section, shall include a complete listing of which entities the electrical corporation considers to be priority essential services. This section shall also include description of strategy and protocols to ensure timely notifications to customers, including access and functional needs populations, in the languages prevalent within the utility's service territory.
5. Protocols for mitigating the public safety impacts of these protocols, including impacts on first responders, health care facilities, operators of telecommunications infrastructure, and water utilities/agencies.

6 Utility GIS attachments

- 6.1 Recent weather patterns the utility is unable to provide this data in GIS format at this time**
- 6.2 Recent drivers of ignition probability the utility is unable to provide this data in GIS format at this time**
- 6.3 Recent use of PSPS the utility is unable to provide this data in GIS format at this time**
- 6.4 Current baseline state of service territory and utility equipment**
- 6.5 Location of planned utility equipment additions or removal the utility is unable to provide this data in GIS format at this time**
- 6.6 Planned 2020 WMP initiative activity by end-2022 the utility is unable to provide this data in GIS format at this time**